HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous (Petition) No. 5873/2016
CNR: RJHC020100792016 | URN: CRLMP / 9310U / 2016

1. Vinod Kumar S/o Shri Feli Ram, R/o Village Khajulpura, Tehsil Chaksu, District Jaipur, Raj.
2. Pramod Kumar S/o Shri Feli Ram, R/o Village Khajulpura, Tehsil Chaksu, District Jaipur, Raj.
3. Feliram S/o Late Shri Gulla, R/o Village Khajulpura, Tehsil Chaksu, District Jaipur, Raj.
4. Nandram S/o Late Shri Jagannath, R/o Village Khajulpura, Tehsil Chaksu, District Jaipur, Raj.
5. Gyarsi Devi W/o Shri Feliram, R/o Village Khajulpura, Tehsil Chaksu, District Jaipur, Raj.
6. Hansraj S/o Nandaram, R/o Village Khajulpura, Tehsil Chaksu, District Jaipur, Raj.
7. Chhajya @ Aone S/o Shri Nandaram, R/o Village Khajulpura, Tehsil Chaksu, District Jaipur, Raj.
8. Ram Lal S/o Shri Shrawan, R/o Village Khajulpura, Tehsil Chaksu, District Jaipur, Raj.
9. Shyoram S/o Shri Bhonri Lal, R/o Village Khajulpura, Tehsil Chaksu, District Jaipur, Raj.
10. Mamta W/o Shri Chhajya @ Aone, R/o Village Khajulpura, Tehsil Chaksu, District Jaipur, Raj.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan Through P.P.
2. Smt. Kajodi Devi W/o Shri Vinod Kumar, D/o Shri Jansiram, R/o Nalawas, Village Panchayat Jhapda, Police Station Ramgarh Pachwara, District Dausa, Raj.
3. Smt. Sanvli Devi W/o Shri Pramod Kumar, D/o Jansiram, R/o Nalawas, Village Panchayat Jhapda, Police Station Ramgarh Pachwara, District Dausa, Raj.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. K.L Meena
For Respondent(s) : Mr. Gaurav Gupta, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR BHARWANI

Order

02/09/2026

The present misc. petition has been preferred by the petitioners under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for quashing the FIR No.225/2016 registered at Police Sttion Ramgarh Pachwara, District Dausa.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner(s) submits that the marriage of petitioner No.1, Vinod Kumar, was solemnized with complainant/respondent No.2, namely Kajodi Devi, whereas the marriage of petitioner No.2, Pramod, was solemnized with complainant/respondent No.3, namely Sanvli Devi, and both marriages were solemnized in the same household. He further submits that the petitioner(s) never subjected their respective wives to any harassment or cruelty and the allegations levelled against the petitioner(s) are not supported by any cogent evidence. Therefore, the present miscellaneous petition deserves to be allowed.

Per contra, learned Public Prosecutor has opposed the present petition and submitted that, during the course of investigation, the Investigating Officer has found the offence to be proved against petitioners No.1 & 2 and apart from the complainant, statements of other witnesses have also been recorded during the course of investigation and, therefore, it cannot be said that the case is devoid of evidence. Therefore, the present miscellaneous petition deserves to be dismissed.

Heard learned counsel for both the parties and perused the material available on record.

Having regard to the fact that, during the course of investigation, statements of the complainant as well as other witnesses have been recorded and the Investigating Officer, upon consideration of the material collected during investigation, has found the offence to be proved against the petitioners No.1 & 2, evidence adduced during investigation, this Court is not inclined to exercise its inherent jurisdiction in favour of the petitioner(s).

Accordingly, the present miscellaneous petition is dismissed.

Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(VINOD KUMAR BHARWANI),J

70/SAURABH