HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 1695/2026
CNR: RJHC020078282026 | URN: CW / 3622U / 2026

ICICI Home Finance Company Limited, Through Authorized Signatory Sh. Anuj Rawal, Having Registered Office At Icici Limited, Bank Tower, Bandra-Kurla Complex, Mumbai, Maharashtra-400051 And Branch Office At Ground Floor, S-32, Jda Market, Gopalpura, Mansarovar Link Road, Near Ridhi-Sidhi Sweets, Jaipur, Rajasthan-302018.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. The District Magistrate, Alwar, District Alwar, Rajasthan.
3. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Tapukara, Tehsil Tapukara, District Alwar, Rajasthan.
4. The Tehsildar, Tehsil Tapukara, District Alwar, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Ms. Neha Sharma with Ms. Harshita Singhal
For Respondent(s)	:	

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANAND SHARMA

Order

14/08/2026

1. Petitioner has filed the present writ petition seeking directions against the respondents to demarcate the mortgage proper comprising the Khasra No.396/571, admeasuring 223.22 sq. yards, situated at Village Bandapur, Tehsil Tapukara, District Alwar (Rajasthan).

2. This Court finds that in case, there is any boundary dispute, or dispute with regard to demarcation, proper procedure

has been prescribed under Section 111 and 128 of the Rajasthan Land Revenue Act, 1956 (hereinafter to be referred as 'the Act of 1956'), however, without approaching the authority by making a proper application, the petitioner has directly filed this writ petition for seeking directions against the authorities for demarcation.

3. It is settled proposition of law that when a particular procedure has been prescribed under a statute then without following that particular process, in absence of extraordinary circumstances, one cannot approach this Court directly by way of filing writ petition.

4. In view of above, this writ petition filed by the petitioner is hereby dismissed. However, it is made clear that mere dismissal of this writ petition would not curtail the rights of the petitioner to file application under Section 111 and 128 of the Act of 1956, and if such application is filed, the same shall be decided by the competent authority strictly in accordance with law.

5. Pending application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of.

(ANAND SHARMA),J

35/DIVYA