



\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

CNR No. DLHC011091722025

+ **MAC.APP. 69/2026 & CM APPL. 4685/2026, CM APPL. 4686/2026,
CM APPL. 4687/2026, CM APPL. 4688/2026**

PAWAN KUMAR MITTAL Appellant

Through: None.

versus

SMT SUSHILA & ORS. Respondents

Through: None.

**CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL**

**ORDER
25.08.2026**

%

1. No one is present on behalf of the appellant. It is noted that when this matter first came up for hearing on 23rd January 2026, a request was made on behalf of *Mr. Anil Verma*, counsel for the appellant, on the ground of personal difficulty, and the matter was listed on 26th February 2026.
2. On 26th February 2026, proxy counsel appeared for the appellant and requested an adjournment on account of personal difficulty of the main counsel.
3. The matter was then listed on 17th April 2026, when it could not be taken up in view of the Division Bench Matters.



4. On 23rd July 2026, yet again proxy counsel appeared and stated that the main counsel, *Mr. Anil Kumar Verma*, was not available. At that stage, the Court noted the previous adjournments and stated specifically as under:

“5. On the next date of hearing, if Mr. Anil Kumar Verma, counsel, or any other arguing counsel is not available physically in the Court, this matter shall be dismissed for want of prosecution.”

5. Considering that no one is available for the appellant even today, the appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.

6. Pending applications are rendered infructuous.

7. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

AUGUST 25, 2026/ak/bp