



\$~19

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

Date of Decision: 21st August, 2026

CNR No. DLHC011080012025

+ CRL.M.C. 109/2026 & CRL.M.A. 353/2026

ANKIT@SIMPI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Pradeep Gahlot, Mr. Amit Kumar,
Mr. Jitender Singh Rana and Ms.
Shalu, Advocates along with
petitioner in person.

versus

STATE GNCT DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sunil Kumar Gautam, APP for the
State with SI Vijay Dahiya and ASI
Sher Singh.

Mr. Surender Nagpal and Mr. Rohan
Nagpal, Advocates for R-2 along with
respondent No.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

J U D G M E N T (oral)

1. Petitioner herein seeks quashing of FIR No. 0593/2025 dated 25.11.2025, registered at Police Station Model Town, for commission of offence under Section 110 of *Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS)*, 2023 (corresponding Section 308 IPC) along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise arrived at between the parties.
2. The incident is of 25.11.2025. The petitioner had come to a restaurant situated in Model Town-III, Delhi. He parked his scooty in front of a *rehri* and the rehriwala i.e. Parmanand Giri (respondent No.2 herein) objected to such parking. It resulted in altercation and as per the allegations, the petitioner herein took him to on one side and grappled him and gave him injuries which left him unconscious. He received severe injuries on his left parietal region



and when he was taken to the hospital, he was also bleeding from the left ear.

3. The charge-sheet has already been filed and the case has been committed to learned Court of Sessions as per the I.O., who is present in Court.

4. Quashing is being sought for the reason that the parties have amicably settled the matter. Injured Parmanand Giri (respondent No.2) is present in person and he has been duly identified by his counsel as well as by I.O.

5. He submits that with the intervention of elders and well-wishers, the matter has been amicably settled and the petitioner has paid compensation of Rs.12.5 lacs to him. He submits that a sum of Rs.2.5 lacs was received by him earlier and today, during the proceedings of the case, he has received two drafts of Rs.5 lacs each drawn on Axis Bank and Indian Bank respectively. He submits that in view of the abovesaid settlement and compensation amount, he is no longer interested in pursuing with the abovesaid FIR. He states that he has entered into the abovesaid settlement out of his own free will, without any coercion and influence from any corner whatsoever and therefore, he would have 'no objection' if FIR in question is quashed.

6. In view of the settlement arrived at between the parties, continuing with criminal proceedings would serve no useful purpose, especially, when dispute does not involve any public interest and is, primarily, private in nature. In any case, even the complainant does not wish to press any charges against the petitioners. Reliance is placed on *Gian Singh v. State of Punjab & Anr.* (2012) 10 SCC 303.

7. In *Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.*, (2014) 6 SCC 466, the Apex Court had observed that proceedings, even in non-compoundable cases, can be quashed on the basis of settlement provided



that the Court is satisfied that there was no meaningful purpose in continuing with the proceedings, and that the scope of conviction was remote and bleak.

8. Reference be also made to the judgments in *Antonnette Promilla Fernanadez v. State NCT of Delhi and Another* 2026 SCC OnLine Del 809 and *Mohd. Rashid & Ors. V. The State (Govt. Of Nct Of Delhi) & Anr.* (in CRL.M.C.8182/2025; DoD 18.11.2025), wherein this Court quashed the proceedings arising out of Sections 308/34 IPC after considering the nature of the offence and amicable settlement between the parties.

9. Accordingly, exercising inherent powers vested in this Court under Section 528 of *Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023*, it is deemed appropriate to quash the instant FIR.

10. Consequently, to secure the ends of justice, FIR No. 0593/2025 dated 25.11.2025, registered at Police Station Model Town, for commission of offence under Section 110 of *Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023* (corresponding Section 308 IPC), along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, is, hereby, quashed.

11. Original affidavits and MoU, copies of which have been placed on record in the present proceedings, shall be submitted before the learned Trial Court within four weeks from today, so that these become part of Trial Court Record.

12. The petition stands disposed of in aforesaid terms.

13. Pending application also stands disposed of.

(MANOJ JAIN)
JUDGE

AUGUST 21, 2026/ss/sk