



\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

CNR No. DLHC010899002025

+ **BAIL APPLN. 4371/2025 & CRL.M.A. 33827/2025, CRL.M.A. 33828/2025**

MOHD. SABIR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Hiren Sharma, Mr. Vimal Tyagi,
Mr. Gaurav Tyagi, Mr. Saurabh Goel
and Ms. Mansi Saini, Advs.

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Naresh Kumar Chahar, APP
SI Pardeep, Insp. Subhash Chand.
P.S- Malviya Nagar.
Adv. for deceased family (appearance
not given)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

%

18.08.2026

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 ('**BNSS**') seeking regular bail in respect of FIR No. 184/2023, for the offences punishable under Sections 302/307/147/148/149/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('**IPC**') registered at PS Jamia Nagar, Delhi.

2. The facts of the present case would indicate that on 28.04.2023, a violent altercation broke out near Gali No. 6, Zakir Nagar, New Delhi,



between Mohd. Adeeb and his associates on one side, and Bilal along with the present applicant and other associates, on the other side. It is alleged that during the altercation, the deceased (Sheyan) was stabbed with a knife and other victims also sustained injuries, after which all the accused allegedly fled the scene.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the applicant submits that the present applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is pointed out that there are 8 accused persons, 5 of them are Child in Conflict with Law ('CCLs') and 3 are adult. The applicant is not responsible for causing injuries to the deceased or any other injured person. He states that the applicant was only present during the alleged crime, and the same should not be the reason to establish the guilt as against the applicant. He also contends that the evidence produced, so far, is not sufficient to implicate the applicant. It is stated that the evidence of PW-1, i.e. one of the injured witnesses is completed. The examination-in-chief of two witnesses has been deferred whereas the cross-examination of one of the eye-witnesses is underway. Furthermore, it has also been stated that another eye-witness is yet to be summoned.

4. These contentions are strongly opposed Mr. Naresh Chahar learned APP for the State as well as learned counsel who appears for the deceased family. They contend that three injured witnesses are yet to be fully examined. Therefore, the hearing of this application be deferred till the examination of three other eye-witnesses.

5. At this stage, instead of hearing the present application on merits, this Court deems it appropriate to direct the concerned Court to expedite the



examination-in-chief/cross-examination of the remaining three eye-witnesses.

6. Let an endeavor be made to complete the same within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the copy of the order passed today.

7. The undertaking of the Investigating Officer is placed on record who contends that there shall be no adjournment on his behalf. Similar undertaking is also placed on behalf of all the parties.

8. In view of the aforesaid, the application, stands disposed of with the liberty to the applicant to move an appropriate application before the concerned trial Court after cross-examination of remaining three eye-witnesses.

9. Pending applications, if any, also stands disposed of.

PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV, J

AUGUST 18, 2026

ab