



\$~16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

CNR No. DLHC010803382024

+ **CRL.M.C. 8966/2024**

SURAJPAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Piyush Pathak and Mr. Arun
Upadhyaya, Advocates.

versus

STATE (GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI) THROUGH SHO & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Priyanka Dalal, APP for the State
with SI Satyender, PS Bhalswa Dairy.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER

19.08.2026

%

1. Petitioner seeks cancellation of bail which was granted to respondent No.2 herein on 08.11.2024.
2. As per the case of prosecution, the daughter of the petitioner herein had committed suicide on account of harassment related to dowry.
3. According to learned counsel for the petitioner, there were specific allegations which becomes evident from the *WhatsApp message* sent by victim to her *Bhabhi*. He submits that learned Trial Court has granted bail while being swayed away by the fact that victim had, earlier, been sexually assaulted and had even given birth to a child which fact, allegedly, was concealed by complainant side when she got married to accused Jitender. He submits that the learned Trial Court should not have given any undue significance to the abovesaid extraneous reason, particularly, in light of the

CRL.M.C. 8966/2024

1



WhatsApp message which, clearly, indicts the accused person and shows it to be a case of dowry death.

4. Fact, however, remains that till date, the charges have not even been ascertained.
5. After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner, without prejudice to his rights and contentions, does not press for cancellation of bail but seeks clarification from this Court to the effect that the observations appearing in the abovesaid order shall not prejudice the mind of the learned Trial Court either at the stage of ascertainment of charges or while deciding the matter finally.
6. The petition is, accordingly, disposed of as not pressed in aforesaid terms and it is clarified that the observations appearing in the impugned order dated 08.11.2024 are tentative in nature which have been given while deciding a bail application. These will not be taken as expression on the merits of the case and will not prejudice the mind of the learned Trial Court at any subsequent stage of the case.
7. All rights and contentions of the parties are left open.

MANOJ JAIN, J

AUGUST 19, 2026

st/sk