



\$~71

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
Date of Decision: 11th August, 2026

CNR No. DLHC010726882024

+ **CRL.M.C. 8364/2024**

SUNIL CHHIKARA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Shishir Pinaki and Mr. Dhanaeswar
Gudapalli, Advocates.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR. & ORS. Respondent

Through: Mr. Rajkumar, APP for the State with
SI Shakti Singh.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

J U D G M E N T (oral)

CRL.M.C. 8364/2024 & CRL.M.A. 24319/2026 (for early hearing)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner, though, seeks early hearing of the matter, fact remains that the point involved is very short in the present petition. Therefore, the matter has been taken up today itself.
2. The next fixed date i.e. 03.12.2026 stands cancelled.
3. When the matter was taken up on 22.10.2024, learned counsel for the petitioner, after some arguments, did not press his plea of seeking quashing of the FIR and confined his request to the quashing of the proceedings initiated under Section 82 Cr.P.C.
4. The petitioner has already been declared a *proclaimed offender* and when he prayed for anticipatory bail, his application was dismissed, eventually, by the Hon'ble Supreme Court also *vide* order dated 22.04.2024 while observing that he was a *proclaimed offender* and, therefore, no relief by way of anticipatory bail could be granted to him. Approximately six months thereafter, the present petition has been filed and as already noticed above, the limited prayer is only to re-call the abovesaid coercive process passed under Section 82 Cr.P.C.



5. The interim bail had been granted to the petitioner way back on 15.01.2021, which was extended on 27.02.2021 by the learned Sessions Court.
6. Thereafter, when the matter was taken up by the learned Sessions Court/Trial Court on 05.03.2021, it cancelled the interim bail and issued warrants against him and the notice was also issued to his surety.
7. Since then, the petitioner is at large and has not even bothered to surrender and, therefore, quite clearly there is no compelling reason to recall the coercive process.
8. After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner, does not press for any further relief in the matter. He, however, on instructions, submits that since the petitioner has to undergo some surgery, he would get himself operated upon and would surrender before the learned Trial Court, on or before 10.09.2026.
9. He, thereafter, does not pray for further relief and would have no objection if the present petition is disposed of, while granting him time till 10.09.2026 to surrender.
10. Keeping in mind the abovesaid specific assurance, the petitioner is granted time till 10.09.2026 to surrender.
11. The petition stands disposed of in aforesaid terms.
12. Pending applications also stand disposed of.
13. A copy of this order be sent to the learned Trial Court and concerned SHO/IO.

(MANOJ JAIN)
JUDGE

AUGUST 11, 2026/ss/js