



\$~12

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

CNR No. DLHC010641812025

+ **CM(M) 1704/2025**

SNEHA VERMA

.....Petitioner

Through: **Ms. Deepti Ahuja, Adv.**

versus

ANIL TANWAR

.....Respondent

Through: **Mr. Sachin Anand, Adv.**

CORAM:

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH VAIDYANATHAN
SHANKAR**

ORDER

19.08.2026

%

1. The present Petition, filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, assails the Order dated 03.07.2025 [***“Impugned Order”***], passed by the learned Judge, Family Court-01, South, Saket Courts, New Delhi [***“learned Family Court”***], whereby an Application, filed by the Petitioner, seeking grant of visitation rights and interim custody of the minor child during the summer vacation of the year 2025, came to be dismissed.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Petitioner submits that the apprehension of the Petitioner is confined to the following observation made towards the conclusion of the Impugned Order, which reads as under:

“...Hence, keeping in view the facts and circumstances this court is not inclined to grant visitation right to the petitioner. Application is therefore, dismissed and disposed off accordingly...”

3. Learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner is anxious that the aforesaid observation may be construed as a finding



by the learned Family Court that the Petitioner is never entitled to visitation rights in respect of her child.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Respondent, however, seeks to allay the aforesaid apprehension and submits that such could neither have been the purport nor the intent of the Impugned Order, particularly since the Application adjudicated thereby was confined to the prayer for visitation during the summer vacations of the year 2025.

5. This Court has heard learned counsel for the parties and, with their able assistance, perused the material available on record.

6. In view of the fair submission made on behalf of the Respondent, this Court is of the view that the present Petition can be disposed of by clarifying that the Order dated 03.07.2025, *i.e.*, the Impugned Order does not, in any manner, operate to interdict or curtail the visitation rights of the Petitioner in respect of the child in perpetuity.

7. It is clarified that the said Order is confined to the prayer for visitation during the summer vacations of the year 2025 and shall not be construed as a determination of the Petitioner's visitation rights beyond the period considered therein.

8. The observation of the learned family Court stands clarified in aforementioned terms, accordingly, no further Orders are required to be passed in the present Petition.

9. Accordingly, the present Petition, along with pending Application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

HARISH VAIDYANATHAN SHANKAR, J.
AUGUST 19, 2026/rk/DJ