



\$~51

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

CNR No. DLHC010410062026

+ **W.P.(C) 12663/2026 & CM APPL. 58856/2026**

ARVIND SINGHAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ajjay Arora, Senior Advocate
with Mr. Arush Kapoor & Mr. Vansh
Luthra, Advocates.

versus

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Pritish Sabharwal, Ms. Shweta
Singh & Mr. Faiz Bakshi, Advocates
for MCD with Mr. Faiz Bakshi, AE,
Central Zone, MCD.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

%

01.09.2026

1. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following reliefs:

- i. Issue a writ of *certiorari*, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction of a like nature, quashing and setting aside the Vacation Notice bearing No. D/173/AE(Bldg.)-IV/CNZ/2026 dated 31.07.2026 issued under Section 349 of the Delhi Municipal Corporation Act, 1957, the purported order of demolition dated 09.04.2026 said to have been passed under Section 343(1) of the Delhi Municipal Corporation Act, 1957 vide File No. 97/B/UC/EE(B)-I/CNZ/2026, all in respect of the property bearing No. E-121, Amar Colony, Lajpat Nagar-IV, New Delhi – 110024;



- ii. Issue a writ of *mandamus*, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction of a like nature, restraining the Respondent, their officers, servants and agents from taking any coercive action, including demolition, sealing or dispossession, in respect of the property bearing No. E-121, Amar Colony, Lajpat Nagar-IV, New Delhi – 110024 in pursuance of or in furtherance of the impugned notices and the purported order of demolition;
- iii. Issue a writ of *mandamus*, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction of a like nature, directing the Respondents to place before this Hon'ble Court the entire original record pertaining to File No. 97/B/UC/EE(B)-I/CNZ/2026, including the purported order of demolition dated 09.04.2026 and the proof of service thereof;
- iv. In the alternative and without prejudice, issue a writ of *mandamus*, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction of a like nature, directing the Respondents to furnish to the Petitioner a copy of the purported order of demolition together with the reasons therefor and to decide the proceedings arising out of the Show Cause Notice bearing No. 97/B/UC/EE(B)-I/CNZ/2026 dated 09.04.2026 afresh, after affording the Petitioner an opportunity of filing his reply and of being heard in person, and in strict compliance with the directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *In Re: Directions in the matter of Demolition of Structures*;

2. The grievance of the petitioner is that a vacation notice has been served on the petitioner on 31st July, 2026, which makes reference to a demolition order. However, a copy of the demolition order has not been supplied to the petitioner.



3. The aforesaid contention of the petitioner is rebutted by counsel for the respondent/MCD, who submits that the demolition order has duly been sent to the petitioner through speed post. He further submits that partial demolition action was also carried out on 20th August, 2026, pursuant to the said demolition order.
4. Without prejudice to the rights and contentions of the parties, the demolition order has been supplied in Court today.
5. In view thereof, counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the present writ petition, with liberty to invoke the appellate remedy available in law.
6. The petition is dismissed as withdrawn, with the aforesaid liberty.

AMIT BANSAL, J

SEPTEMBER 1, 2026
at