



\$~89

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

CNR No. DLHC010408812026

+ **W.P.(CRL) 2649/2026**

AJIT KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Siddhant Gautam and Mr. Vivek
Gautam, Advs.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Anand V. Khatri, ASC for the
State.
SI Vivek Gautam, PS: Badarpur.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

%

01.09.2026

1. It is pointed out by Mr. Naresh Kumar Chahar, learned counsel, that the petitioner is not residing at the place given in the memo of parties/cause title. Even otherwise, the petitioner seeks protection of his younger brother and his wife. Whereabouts of the petitioner's younger brother and wife are not known. No particulars of address, etc. are shown in the petition to enable the police to extend the necessary protection.
2. In the absence of there being full details to whom and where the protection has to be extended, no *mandamus* can be directed to the State.
3. In view of the aforesaid, the petition fails and is hereby dismissed.
4. The petitioner or his younger brother, however, shall be at liberty to



file a properly constituted petition.

PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV, J
SEPTEMBER 1, 2026/P