



\$~48

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
Date of Decision: 31st August, 2026

CNR No. DLHC010406162026

+ **W.P.(CRL) 2628/2026&CRL.M.A. 26672/2026**
ANMOL CHAUDHARY

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Shivam Sharma, Mr. Gaurav
Bishnoi, Advocates with petitioner.

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR. Respondent

Through: Mr. Sanjay Lao, Senior Counsel with
Mr. Hitesh Wali, APP and Ms. Priyam
Aggarwal, Advocates along with SI
Dharmendra.
R-2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

J U D G M E N T (oral)

1. Petitioner seeks quashing of FIR No. 147/2025 dated 20.03.2025, registered at Police Station DGB Road, for commission of offences under Sections 78/79/351(3)/3(5) *Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita 2023, (BNS)* (corresponding Sections 354D/509/506/34 IPC), along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise arrived at between the parties.
2. As per the report lodged by respondent No.2 herein, she knew the petitioner for last more than two years. They both were friends and had met in a college where they both were pursuing their respective studies.
3. Respondent No.2, later on, told petitioner that she was not interested in maintaining the abovesaid friendship and relation any further. However, the petitioner did not resist. On 20.03.2025, he came outside her house in



inebriated state and created a ruckus and hurled filthy abuses. He was accompanied by one more person.

4. Investigation was, accordingly, carried out.

5. Charge-sheet has been filed.

6. According to learned counsel for petitioner, the petitioner herein has been summoned though there is no order with respect to other accused, whose name is shown in column No. 12.

7. The quashing is, however, being sought as the matter has been amicably settled. Copy of *Memorandum of Understanding* (MoU) dated 16.05.2026 has been placed on record, which indicates that with the intervention of family members, friends, colleagues and well-wishers, parties have amicably resolved their disputes and differences voluntarily.

8. Petitioner is present in-person and undertakes to adhere to the terms of the *Memorandum of Understanding* (MoU).

9. Respondent No.2 is present in person and has been duly identified by IO. She is accompanied by her father and brother and when asked, she submits that the matter with the petitioner has been settled and she has already forgiven him, without any monetary consideration. She submits that she has entered into the abovesaid settlement out of her own free will, without any coercion and influence from any corner whatsoever and therefore, she would have 'no objection' if FIR in question is quashed, *in toto*. Respondent No.2 submits that she does not want her FIR to be pursued any further *qua* anyone, including the petitioner herein.

10. In view of the settlement arrived at between the parties, continuing with criminal proceedings would serve no useful purpose. In any case, even the complainant does not wish to press any charges against the petitioner. Since



the parties have amicably resolved the dispute, going ahead with the proceedings would be futile and the ends of justice would require putting final quietus.

11. Accordingly, exercising inherent powers vested in this Court under Section 528 of the BNSS, it is deemed appropriate to quash the instant FIR.

12. Consequently, to secure the ends of justice, FIR No. 147/2025 dated 20.03.2025, registered at Police Station DGB Road, for commission of offences under Sections 78/79/351(3)/3(5) *Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita 2023*, (BNS) (corresponding Sections 354D/509/506/34 IPC), along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, is quashed.

13. Original affidavits of the parties, copies of which have been filed with the present petition, shall be submitted before the learned Trial Court within four weeks from today, so that these become part of Trial Court Record.

14. The petition stands disposed of in aforesaid terms.

15. Pending application also stands disposed of.

(MANOJ JAIN)
JUDGE

AUGUST 31, 2026/sw/js