



\$~60

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

#

CNR No. DLHC010405712026

+

W.P.(C) 12573/2026 and CM APPL. 58302/2026

JIANGSU DINGSHENG NEW MATERIALS JOINT STOCK
CO LTD & ANR. Petitioners

Through: Mr. Balbir Singh, Sr. Adv. with
Mr. Jafar Alam, Mr. Dhruv
Gupta, Mr. Gourav Gogia, Mr.
Akshay Bhatia, Ms. Fisha
Jham, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR. Respondents

Through: Ms. Avshreya Pratap Singh
Rudy, CGSC with Ms. Usha
Jammal, GP with Ms. Nyasa
Sharma, Mr. Siddhant Nagar,
Mr. Abhinav Mall, Advs. with
Mr. Anuvrat Bhatnagar, DGTR.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHAIL JAIN

ORDER

%

31.08.2026

1. Through the present Writ Petition, the Petitioners, *inter alia*, seek issuance of the Impugned Disclosure Statement dated 24.08.2026 issued by Respondent No. 2/Directorate General of Trade Remedies (DGTR) in the Sunset Review investigation concerning anti-dumping duty on imports of Certain Flat Rolled Aluminium Products originating in or exported from China PR, as well as the consequential email dated 25.08.2026.

2. Learned senior counsel appearing for the Petitioners has been heard at length. It has been contended that the Petitioners' have been erroneously treated as 'non-cooperative' in the Disclosure Statement, and such a finding has been rendered without providing the Petitioners



sufficient access to the underlying data, or an adequate and meaningful opportunity to explain the alleged discrepancies, prior to issuance of the Disclosure Statement.

3. Learned counsel representing the Respondents, drawing attention to paragraph no.3 of the Disclosure Statement, submits that the Petitioners still have an opportunity to file their response(s) along with the relevant supporting data/material, and that the same shall be duly considered by the concerned Authority in accordance with law.

4. It is further submitted by the learned counsel representing the Respondents that the final findings in the said Sunset Review investigation are yet to be rendered, and in the event the Petitioners submit their response(s) along with the relevant data on the very date of this order, the same shall be duly examined and considered by the Authority in accordance with law.

5. In view of the aforesaid statement made on behalf of the Respondents, learned senior counsel representing the Petitioners prays for permission to withdraw the present Writ Petition, with liberty to place the relevant data/material and response before the concerned Authority today itself.

6. With these observations, the present Writ Petition, along with the pending application, is disposed of.

7. *Dasti.*

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.

SHAIL JAIN, J.

AUGUST 31, 2026

jai/hr