



\$~150

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
CNR No. DLHC010402922026
+ **W.P.(C) 12503/2026 & CM APPL. 57931/2026, CM APPL. 57932/2026**

DEEPANSHU THROUGH FATHER SH RAJENDER SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: appearance not given

versus

**GURU GOBIND SINGH INDRAPRASTHA UNIVERSITY AND
ORS**

.....Respondent

Through: Ms Anita Sahani, Adv. for R-1
Ms Avni Singh, Panel Counsel
GNCTD with Mr Vaibhav Sharma,
Adv.

**CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH**

**ORDER
25.08.2026**

%

1. This is a writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following prayers :-

“(a) Issue a writ in the nature of certiorari, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, quashing and setting aside (i) Paragraph 3 of University Notification No. 181/2026 bearing F. No. IPU- 7/DI(Academic)/Online Counselling/2026/2725 dated 14.08.2026 (ANNEXURE



P-1), and (ii) Paragraph 4 of the University Notification bearing F. No. IPU-7/DI(Academic)/Offline Counselling/2026/2782 dated 22.08.2026 (ANNEXURE P-2), insofar as they direct that the allotment of seats shall be carried out “irrespective of Region and Category on All India basis” and thereby de-reserve the seats reserved for the Scheduled Castes and the Scheduled Tribes and allot to outside- Delhi candidates the seats earmarked for Delhi candidates, contrary to Sections 12(1)(b) and 12(2)(a) of the Act of 2007; and (iii) Paragraphs 4 and 12 read with the schedule at Paragraph 14 of the said notification dated 22.08.2026, insofar as they permit the allotment of seats to candidates who have not qualified the Common Entrance Test conducted by the designated agency, contrary to Section 13 of the Act of 2007 — the relief at (i) above being sought without prejudice to, and subject to, the outcome of W.P.(C) 11920/2026 titled “Justice For All v. Hon’ble Lieutenant Governor, Govt. of NCT of Delhi & Ors.”, in which the said notification dated 14.08.2026 is also under challenge and is pending before a Division Bench of this Hon’ble Court;

(b) Issue a writ in the nature of certiorari, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, quashing and setting aside Paragraph 10 and Clause (b) of the “Important Note” of the said University Notification No. 181/2026 dated 14.08.2026, read with Paragraphs 3 and 13(a) of the said



notification dated 22.08.2026, insofar as they declare a candidate who has merely been selected and allotted a seat, but who has not reported at and has not been admitted in any institution, to be an “ADMITTED” candidate, and on that footing debar him from participating in any further round of counselling, whether online or offline;

(c) Issue a writ in the nature of mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, directing Respondent No. 1 to treat the Petitioner as a “Registered and Not Admitted” candidate and to permit him to participate in SPOT Round 3 (Offline) Counselling and in every subsequent round for the academic session 2026-27 in the Scheduled Caste category and Delhi region as per his merit and preferences, and directing Respondents No. 1 and 4 to grant him admission in the four year B.Tech. programme in Computer Science & Engineering at Respondent No. 4 institute against a vacant seat in the Scheduled Caste category and Delhi region, adjusting towards the fee payable the sum of Rs. 96,000/- already deposited by him and without insisting upon payment of any further part academic fee;

(d) Declare that the allocation of seats under Section 12(1)(b) and the reservation of seats under Section 12(2)(a) of the Act of 2007 are mandatory and are not liable to be varied or de-reserved save by the Government by notification in the official Gazette, and that any admission



made in contravention of Sections 12 and 13 of the said Act, being void under Section 14 thereof, shall not be set up against the Petitioner to deny him a seat; and pass such other and further order or orders, including as to costs, as this Hon'ble Court may deem just, fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case and in the interest of justice....”

2. For the reasons stated in the petition, issue notice.
3. Ms. Sahni, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondent states that the petitioner has been allowed to report at Guru Tegh Bahadur 4th Centenary Engineering College.
4. In this view of the matter, the present petition does not survive and is accordingly disposed of.
5. Needless to state, in case any other dispute arises subsequently the petitioner shall be entitled to approach the Court in accordance with law.

JASMEET SINGH, J

AUGUST 25, 2026/sp