



\$~77

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

CNR No. DLHC010399332026

+ **W.P.(C) 12396/2026 & CM APPL. 57498/2026**

**DIVINITI GLOBAL LIMITED (FORMERLY SHREEM
SWARNAM DESIGN CONCEPTS
PRIVATE LIMITED)** Petitioner

Through: Mr. Zoheb Hossain, Senior Advocate
with Mr. S. Santanam Swaminadhan,
Ms. Abhilasha Shrawat, Mr. Kartik
Malhotra, Ms. Anika Pande, Mr.
Humayun Abdulla, Mr. Pranjal
Tripathi, Ms. Tanvi Jain, Advocates

versus

**INDIA TOURISM DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LIMITED &
ANR.** Respondents

Through: Ms. Swati Setia, Advocate for R-1.
Mr. Rajesh Kumar, SPC with Ms.
Aandrita Deb, GP for R-2.

**CORAM:
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA**

ORDER

25.08.2026

%

1. By way of the present petition, the petitioner is seeking following prayers:

“...a. Issue an appropriate writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction restraining the Respondent No.1, their officers, servants and agents from sealing the Licensed Premises, obstructing access thereto, effecting coercive disconnection of utilities, or dispossessing the Petitioner therefrom otherwise than in accordance with law;



b. Issue a writ of Certiorari or any other appropriate writ, order or direction calling for the records of Respondent No.1 and quashing the Notice of Revocation-cum-Termination dated 17.08.2026 issued on behalf of Respondent No.1;

c. Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction staying the operation and effect of the Notice of Revocation-cum-Termination dated 17.08.2026 pending the final outcome of the proceedings, if initiated by the Respondents, in accordance with law;

d. Issue an appropriate writ of mandamus, order or direction directing the maintenance of status quo as to the possession, right and interest of the Petitioner in respect of Shop No. LS-1, The Ashok, 50-B, Chanakyapuri, New Delhi...”

2. Issue notice.
3. Notice is accepted by the learned counsel appearing for respondents.
4. The learned counsel appearing for the respondent nos. 1 and 2 states that they will not dispossess the petitioner herein without following the due process of law.
5. In view of the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for respondent nos. 1 and 2, the present petition, along with pending application, stands disposed of.
6. The petitioner is at liberty to approach this Court again, in case the need so arises, by way of filing a fresh writ petition.
7. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

AUGUST 25, 2026/zp
VS