



\$~78

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

CNR No. DLHC010397282026

+ **W.P.(C) 12320/2026 & CM APPL. 57188/2026**

ASHISH SAINI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Abhay Kumar, Mr. Surender Kumar, Mr. Hansraj Singh and Mr. Shevon Abraham Samuel, Advocates

versus

**MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI
& ORS.**

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Gauri Bedi, Additional Standing Counsel for R-1 and R-2/MCD
Mr. Sanjiv Bahl, Senior Advocate with Ms. Apoorva Bahl and Mr. Pawas Agarwal, Advocates for R-4
Mr. Niraj Kumar, Senior Central Government Counsel with Mr. Kartik Sharma, G.P. for Delhi Police

**CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL**

ORDER

% **24.08.2026**

CM APPL. 57188/2026 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

W.P.(C) 12320/2026

2. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following reliefs:-



- i. Issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction thereby directing the Respondent Authorities, particularly Respondent Nos. 1 to 3, to forthwith take appropriate and effective action against the ongoing unauthorised and illegal construction being carried out on the property bearing priority no 451, Galli no 33-D, molarband extension, new Delhi in accordance with the provisions of the Delhi Municipal Corporation Act, 1957 Delhi Development Act, 1957, and the Delhi Master Plan;
- ii. Issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction thereby directing the Respondent Authorities to inspect, seal, and demolish the unauthorised construction on the said property and to restore the site to its original position, in accordance with law;

3. Counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-MCD submits that a regularisation certificate has been issued to the property bearing 451, *Galli no 33-D, Molarband Extension, Badarpur, Delhi – 110044* ('subject property') on 10th July, 2026 in terms of the PM-UDAY Scheme.

4. The said certificate has been handed over in Court and the same is taken on record.

5. Mr. Sanjiv Bahl, Senior Counsel appearing on behalf of the private respondent no.4 submits that present petition has been filed with oblique motives as the petitioner stays five (5) streets away from the subject property. He further submits that there is an ongoing litigation between the petitioner and the private respondent no.4 in relation to the petitioner's property and that the present petition has been filed as a counter blast.

6. Taking note of the aforesaid submissions, this Court is not inclined to entertain the present petition.

7. The petition is dismissed.

AMIT BANSAL, J

AUGUST 24, 2026
ds