



\$~78

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

CNR No. DLHC010396252026

+ RC.REV. 301/2026, CM APPL. 57083/2026 (Ex.) & CM APPL. 57084/2026 (Stay)

SAMUAL GHOSH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Naushad Ahmad Khan,
Advocate through Video
Conferencing.

versus

NARESH VERMA AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. V.K. Sharma, Advocate
through Video Conferencing.

CORAM:

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH VAIDYANATHAN
SHANKAR**

ORDER
25.08.2026

%

1. The present Rent Control Revision Petition, under Section 25-B (8) of the Delhi Rent Control Act, 1958, seeks to set aside the Judgement and Order dated 20.11.2025 passed by the learned ACJ-cum-CCJ-cum-ARC, Patiala House Courts, New Delhi, in Eviction Petition being RC ARC No.384/2024 titled as “*Naresh Verma & Anr. v/s Samual Ghosh*”.

2. When the matter was taken up on the first call, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties had sought some time to confer with their clients on the amount of time that may be granted for the purpose of retaining the premises.

3. When the matter was taken up on the second call, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties, jointly submit, on



instructions, that the parties are *ad idem* that the Petitioner may be permitted to continue to be in possession of the premises till 31.12.2026 and no further.

4. It is further agreed that the occupation of the said premises will be subject to the Petitioner making payment of the rent which is currently being paid.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties are also *ad idem* that the electricity charges will be borne by the Petitioner and the vacant possession of the premises will be handed over on or before 31.12.2026.

6. The Petitioner undertakes to ensure that an Affidavit of Undertaking to this effect is filed within a period of two (02) weeks from today.

7. The Registry is directed to ensure that the Affidavit of Undertaking so filed is taken on record.

8. In view of the undertaking and in view of the parties being *ad idem* that the matter may be disposed of in the above terms, this Court is of the considered view that no further orders are required to be passed in the present Petition.

9. Accordingly, the present Petition, along with all pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

HARISH VAIDYANATHAN SHANKAR, J.
AUGUST 25, 2026/nd/va