



\$~170

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
CNR No. DLHC010395882026

+ **W.P.(C) 12307/2026 & CM APPL. 56994/2026**

TANYA SHARMA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vineet Jindal, Ms. Urvashi
Ms Richa Panday, Ms. Akshita, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Amrita Prakash Mr. Gopesh
Jindal, Advs for R1
Mr. Sanjay Khanna, Ms. Pragya Bhushan, Ms.
Jaya Choudhary, Ms. Meemansa Dixit, Mr. Naman
Dwivedi, Mr. Saurabh Pandey, Advs. for NTA

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ORDER

%

24.08.2026

CM APPL. 56995/2026

Allowed subject to all just exceptions.

The application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) 12307/2026

1. This is a writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following prayers:-

“A) issue an appropriate writ, order or direction directing Respondent Nos. 1to 3 to immediately preserve and keep in safe custody all physical and electronic records relating to the Petitioner’s NEET-UG 2026 Re-Examination, including the Original Physical OMR, Scanned OMR, Recorded Response, evaluation records, marks-calculation records,



result-generation records, database entries, server logs, audit trails, change history, login history, IP logs, metadata and system records relating to Application No. 260411107375 and Roll No. 4449108043 ;

B) Direct the Respondents to produce before this Hon'ble Court the original digital records relating to the Petitioner's NEET-UG 2026 result along with the complete audit trail indicating whether any alteration, correction, modification or revision was carried out after declaration of the result;

C) Direct the concerned counselling/admission authorities, including Respondent No.3/MCC and Respondent No.4/AIIMS, New Delhi, to keep one seat vacant or provisionally reserve the Petitioner's candidature in the MBBS course(s) for which she is otherwise eligible, subject to the outcome of the present Writ Petition;

D) Direct the Respondents to permit the Petitioner to participate in the NEET-UG 2026 counselling and admission process on the basis of her original Score Card/result showing 689 marks, subject to the outcome of the present Writ Petition;

E) Direct an independent technical inquiry into the discrepancy and alteration, if any, in the Petitioner's digital examination and result records,....”

2. For the reasons stated in the petition, issue notice.
3. Ms. Prakash, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondent No. 1. Mr. Khanna, learned standing counsel accepts



notice on behalf of the respondent No. 2.

4. Mr. Khanna, learned standing counsel, has produced the original OMR sheet of the petitioner before this Court and a photocopy of the same along with attendance sheet and calculation sheet have also been handed over to the learned counsel for the petitioner.
5. Mr. Jindal, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the document which is annexed as Annexure P-5 is the correct OMR sheet of the petitioner and not the one shown to the court today. However, he is unable to substantiate his submissions by cogent reasons in order to explain this discrepancy.
6. In view of the judgement of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Prabhnoor Singh & Ors. v. National Testing Agency & Ors. W.P. (C) No. 1286/2021***, once the original OMR sheet is produced, the Courts in exercise of their writ jurisdiction cannot go any further to examine the same.
7. For the said reasons, the petition is dismissed granting liberty to the petitioner to avail her rightful remedies available as per law including a Civil Suit, as the contentions raised by the petitioner would require detailed evidence.
8. Except for the limited observation as above, the Court has not examined the merits and demerits of the controversy.
9. The original OMR sheet has been returned back to the learned standing counsel for the respondent No. 1. The photocopy of the same is taken on record.

JASMEET SINGH, J

AUGUST 24, 2026 / (MS)