



\$~75

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

CNR No. DLHC010395612026

+ **W.P.(C) 12281/2026, CM APPL. 56951/2026 & CM APPL. 56952/2026**

CHANDERKALA SAPRA

.....Petitioner

Through: **Mr. N. K. Nagar and Mr. Hamid Ali,**
Advocates along with petitioner in
person

versus

BSES RAJDHANI POWER LIMITED

.....Respondent

Through: **Mr. Arav Kapoor, Mr. S. P. Singh**
Chawla, Ms. Akshita Gupta and
Mr. Shubham Bishnoi, Advocates

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

% **24.08.2026**

CM APPL. 56951/2026 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

W.P.(C) 12281/2026

2. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following reliefs:-



- a) Issue a writ of Certiorari or any other appropriate writ quashing the Provisional Assessment Order dated 11 08 2025 issued under Section 126 of the Electricity Act, 2003;
- b) Quash the consequential assessment bill/demand of ₹1,85,360/- raised against the petitioner and pass order directing the respondent to adjust the sum of Rupees 92,500.00 which was taken on the threat of disconnection of her electricity, in future bills, in the interest of justice.
- c) Direct the respondent not to disconnect electricity supply of the petitioner's premises on the basis of the impugned assessment;
- d) Direct the respondent to restore the consumer category and billing status as existing prior to the impugned proceedings;
- e) Stay recovery of the impugned assessment amount during the pendency of the present petition;

3. Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that there is no commercial kitchen at the subject premises and only *prasad* is being prepared for the devotees, who are neighbours of the petitioner, on a non-chargeable basis.

4. Counsel appears on behalf of the respondent/BSES Rajdhani Power Limited ('BRPL'), on advance notice and submits that the petitioner has challenged the provisional assessment order dated 11th August, 2025. Subsequently, a final assessment order has been passed on 23rd February, 2026, after giving a personal hearing to the petitioner.

5. He further submits that the petitioner has a remedy of filing an appeal under Section 127 of the Electricity Act, 2003 and therefore, the present writ petition is not maintainable.

6. Counsel for the petitioner submits that he was not aware of the final assessment order and has not been supplied a copy by the respondent/BRPL.

6.1. Without prejudice to the contention of the respondent/BRPL that a



copy of the abovesaid final order has already been supplied to the petitioner, a copy has been handed over to the petitioner in Court.

7. In view thereof, counsel for the petitioner on instructions from the petitioner, who is present in Court, seeks to withdraw the present petition with liberty to invoke appropriate remedies available in law.

8. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

9. Pending application stands disposed of.

AMIT BANSAL, J

AUGUST 24, 2026
ds