



\$~12 to 17

- * **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
CNR No. DLHC010392792026
(12) **MISC. APPEAL(PMLA) 120/2026 & CM APPL. 56572/2026**
CNR No. DLHC010392892026
(13) **MISC. APPEAL(PMLA) 121/2026 & CM APPL. 56627/2026**
CNR No. DLHC010393062026
(14) **MISC. APPEAL(PMLA) 122/2026 & CM APPL. 56658/2026**
CNR No. DLHC010393092026
(15) **MISC. APPEAL(PMLA) 123/2026 & CM APPL. 56660/2026**
CNR No. DLHC010393112026
(16) **MISC. APPEAL(PMLA) 124/2026 & CM APPL. 56663/2026**
CNR No. DLHC010393722026
(17)+ **MISC. APPEAL(PMLA) 125/2026 & CM APPL. 56782/2026**

DEPUTY DIRECTOR. DIRECTORATE OF
ENFORCEMENT Petitioner

Through: Mr.Vivek Gurnani, Panel
Counsel with Mr.Kanishk
Maurya, Adv.

versus

PERCEPT LOGISTICS PVT. LTD Respondent
SMT. UMA RAI Respondent
SHRI UPENDRA RAI Respondent
RACHANA RAI Respondent
NARENDRA RAI Respondent

Through: Mr.Arshdeep Singh Khurana,
Mr.Arjun Dewan, Mr.Arjun
Mukherjee, Mr.Himanshu
Kasturi and Mr.Kartik Sharma,
Advs.



RAHUL SHARMA

.....Respondent

Through: Mr.Sanjay Abbot, Mr.Ayush
Agarwal and Ms.Nehal
Karotiya, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVINDER DUDEJA

ORDER

% **25.08.2026**

1. These appeals have been filed by the appellant(s), challenging the Order dated 29.05.2026 passed by the learned Appellate Tribunal under SAFEMA at Delhi in the batch of appeals, including FPA-PMLA-2964/DLI/2019, titled ***Percept Logistics Pvt. Ltd. v. Deputy Director Directorate of Enforcement, Delhi***, FPA-PMLA-2962/DLI/2019, titled as ***Uma Rai v. Deputy Director, Directorate of Enforcement, Delhi***, FPA-PMLA-2953/DLI/2019, titled ***Upendra Rai v. Directorate of Enforcement, Delhi***, FPA-PMLA-2965/DLI/2019, titled ***Rachana Rai v. Deputy Director, Directorate of Enforcement, Delhi***, FPA-PMLA-2963/DLI/2019, titled ***Narendra Rai v. Directorate of Enforcement, Delhi*** and FPA-PMLA-2989/DLI/2019, titled ***Rahul Sharma v. Deputy Director, Directorate of Enforcement, Delhi***.
2. The learned counsels for the respondents, without prejudice to the rights and contentions, submit that they have no objection if the Impugned Order is set aside and the appeals are remanded before the learned Appellate Tribunal for a fresh adjudication.
3. Keeping in view the above concession, these appeals are



allowed and the Impugned Order dated 29.05.2026 is set aside. The pending applications are disposed of.

4. We, however, make it clear that we have not expressed any opinion on the merits of the claims of the parties and the appeals shall be decided by the learned Appellate Tribunal remaining uninfluenced by the present order. All the contentions of the parties shall remain open to be adjudicated before the learned Appellate Tribunal afresh.

5. As the appeals are of the year 2019, we request the learned Appellate Tribunal to try and dispose them of within a period of two months from their first listing.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

RAVINDER DUDEJA, J

AUGUST 25, 2026/sg/pb