



\$~91

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
Date of Decision: 21st August, 2026

CNR No. DLHC010391582026

+ **CRL.M.C. 6162/2026**

VARUN AGGARWAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Manish Gupta with
Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Mr. Amit
Kumar and Ms. Sabiya, Advocates
with petitioner in person

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Raj Kumar, APP for the State with
SI Balmiki Mishra, PS Bharatnagar,
W/SI Inkumnaro, PS Prasad Nagar.
Respondent No.2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

J U D G M E N T (oral)

CRL.M.A. 25759/2026 (exemption)

Exemption allowed subject to all just exceptions.

CRL.M.C. 6162/2026

1. Petitioner herein seeks quashing of FIR No.220/2020 dated 07.08.2020, registered at Police Station Prasad Nagar, Delhi, for commission of offences Sections 498A/406/34 IPC, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise arrived at between the parties.
2. The marriage between complainant (respondent No.2 herein) and petitioner No.1 was solemnized on 24.01.2015, as per Hindu rites and customs. One son is born from the abovesaid wedlock.
3. However, on account of temperamental differences, the parties started residing separately and when a complaint was lodged by respondent No.2, it



resulted into registration of the abovesaid FIR.

4. Charge-sheet has already been filed.
5. However, when the matter was referred to Mediation, the parties were able to amicably resolve the matter under the *aegis* of *Counseling Cell, Family Court, Central District, Tis Hazari Courts, New Delhi* on 18.11.2025 and have been able to resolve all their disputes and have decided to part ways gracefully.
6. Copy of such *Settlement* is already on record.
7. It is in the abovesaid backdrop that quashing is being sought.
8. Respondent no. 2 is present in person with her father and she has been duly identified by Investigating Officer as well as by her counsel.
9. When asked, respondent No. 2 reiterates the terms of abovesaid settlement, as recorded in proceedings dated 18.11.2025. She submits that there is already a divorce between them by way of mutual consent on 19.03.2026. She states that she has agreed to accept a total sum of Rs.30,50,000/- towards full and final settlement *in lieu* of *istridhan*, alimony, maintenance (past, present and future). Out of the abovesaid total settlement amount of Rs. 30,50,000/-, she has already received Rs.20,50,000. The balance amount of Rs. 10,00,000/- has been received by her today, in the shape of Demand Draft drawn on Bank of Baroda. She submits that out of the abovesaid amount of Rs.30,50,000/-, there is an FDR for a sum of Rs.8,00,000/-, which is in the name of their minor son. She states that she has entered into the abovesaid settlement out of her own free will, without any coercion and influence from any corner whatsoever and therefore, she would have '*no objection*' if FIR in question is quashed.
10. It is submitted that as per mutual arrangement, custody of their child



would remain with the respondent No.2 i.e. mother of the child and petitioner No.1 would have visitation rights only if such son wants to meet his father.

11. Respondent No.2 undertakes to abide by the terms of settlement and, similarly, the petitioner, who is present in Court, also undertakes to abide by the terms of settlement.

12. In view of the settlement arrived at between the parties, continuing with criminal proceedings would serve no useful purpose, especially, when dispute does not involve any public interest and is, primarily, private in nature. In any case, even the complainant does not wish to press any charges against the petitioner.

13. Accordingly, exercising inherent powers vested in this Court under Section 528 of *Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023*, it is deemed appropriate to quash the instant FIR.

14. Consequently, to secure the ends of justice, FIR No.220/2020 dated 07.08.2020, registered at Police Station Prasad Nagar, Delhi, for commission of offences Sections 498A/406/34 IPC, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, is, hereby, quashed.

15. Original affidavits of the parties, copies of which have been placed on record in the present proceedings, be submitted to the learned Trial Court within further period of four weeks, so that these become part of the Trial Court Record.

16. The petition stands disposed of in aforesaid terms.

(MANOJ JAIN)
JUDGE

AUGUST 21, 2026/st/sa