



\$~175

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

CNR No. DLHC010390742026

+ **W.P.(C) 12179/2026 & CM APPL. 56392/2026**

YATENDRA SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Nikhil Malhotra, Ms. Neha Malhotra, Mr. Pankaj Nanda and Ms. Zubia Ali, Advocates.

versus

NAFED

.....Respondent

Through: *None.*

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA

ORDER

%

20.08.2026

1. The Petitioner was serving as Deputy Manager, Ahmedabad, with the National Agricultural Cooperative Marketing Federation of India¹ and had earlier served as Branch Manager, Azadpur. Disciplinary proceedings were initiated against him in relation to alleged irregularities in the sale of onion and garlic at the Azadpur Branch during 2018-19. The Executive Committee of NAFED, *vide* order dated 31st March, 2023, terminated the Petitioner and sought to recover the outstanding amount of Rs. 36,05,484.50 from his dues.
2. It is submitted that the Petitioner preferred an appeal against the said order before the Appellate Authority, which, after granting him an opportunity of personal hearing, dismissed the appeal and affirmed the order

¹ "NAFED"



dated 31st March, 2023, *vide* order dated 6th May, 2024.

3. Thereafter, the Petitioner preferred a Review Petition dated 28th August, 2024, under the NAFED Conduct, Discipline & Appeal Rules, 1994, assailing the order dated 31st March, 2023, as well as the appellate order dated 6th May, 2024. The said Review Petition remains pending. The Petitioner has since made several requests seeking its expeditious consideration and disposal; however, no decision thereon has been communicated to him.

4. The grievance of the Petitioner in the present petition is, thus, confined to the pendency of his Review Petition dated 28th August, 2024. Accordingly, without going into the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent reviewing authority to consider and decide the Review Petition dated 28th August, 2024, in accordance with law, within a period of six weeks from today.

5. All rights and contentions of the parties are left open.

6. The Court has not commented on or examined the merits of the case.

SANJEEV NARULA, J

AUGUST 20, 2026/hc