



\$~67

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

CNR No. DLHC010389802026

+ **W.P.(C) 12135/2026**

KALRA COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Akhilesh Srivastava, Mr. Rishabh
Kumar, Ms. Archana Joshi, Advs.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Mohinder JS Rupal, Mr. Hardik
Rupal, Ms. Aishwarya Malhotra, Ms.
Tripta Sharma, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ORDER

20.08.2026

%

CM APPL. 56334/2026

Exemption is granted subject to all just exceptions.

The application is disposed of.

W.P.(C) 12135/2026

1. This is a writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following prayers :-

“A. Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction, particularly a writ in the nature of Mandamus, directing Respondent No. 2 to consider and grant recognition to the Petitioner for conducting the B.Ed. course with two basic units having a total intake of 100 students, i.e. 50 students



in each unit, pursuant to the Letter of Intent dated 27.05.2025, after taking into consideration all the documents and material submitted by the Petitioners and in accordance with the NCTE Act, applicable Regulations and law;

B. In the alternative, issue an appropriate writ, order or direction directing Respondent No. 2 to decide the Petitioners' pending application dated 31.08.2020 for recognition of two basic units having a total intake of 100 students, i.e. 50 students in each unit, after taking into consideration the Letter of Intent dated 27.05.2025, the Recognition Order dated 29.08.2025, Corrigendum dated 03.09.2025, Appeal Order dated 15.01.2026, reply to the Show Cause Notice dated 10.04.2026, faculty list and all other documents submitted by the Petitioners, by passing a reasoned and speaking order, within a time-bound period as may be fixed by this Hon'ble Court;..."

2. For the reasons stated in the petition, issue notice.
3. Mr. Rupal, learned counsel, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.
4. Mr. Srivastava, learned counsel for the petitioner, states that recognition was granted by respondent No. 2 to the petitioner vide order dated 29.08.2025, for one basic unit (50 students) for conducting the B.Ed. course, although the petitioner had applied for two basic units (100 students).
5. Feeling aggrieved by the order dated 29.08.2025, the petitioner



approached the Appeal Committee under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, seeking enhancement of the intake from 50 to 100 students.

6. He further submits that the Appeal Committee, *vide* order dated 15.01.2026, remanded the matter back to respondent No. 2 for fresh consideration.
7. Consequently, respondent No. 2 issued a Show Cause Notice dated 10.04.2026 to the petitioner, on grounds that the petitioner had failed to upload the faculty list in the university-approved format as per NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended), and failed to upload documents as per the Appellate Authority's directions.
8. The petitioner has sufficiently responded to the said Show Cause Notice. However, the matter remains undecided to date.
9. Mr. Rupal, learned counsel, on instructions, submits that respondent No. 2 will decide the petitioner's pending application dated 31.08.2020 for recognition of two basic units, with a total intake of 100 students (50 students in each unit), after taking into consideration the Letter of Intent dated 27.05.2025, the Recognition Order dated 29.08.2025, the Corrigendum dated 03.09.2025, the Appeal Order dated 15.01.2026, reply to the Show Cause Notice dated 10.04.2026, faculty list and all other documents submitted by the petitioner and will pass a reasoned and speaking order within one week from today. Let it be so done.
10. Accordingly, with these directions, the petition is disposed of.

JASMEET SINGH, J

AUGUST 20, 2026/sp