



\$~49

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

# **CNR No. DLHC010389092026**

+ **W.P.(C) 12087/2026 & CM APPL. 56094/2026, CM APPL. 56095/2026, CM APPL. 56096/2026**

**REENA SINGH**

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vivek Sood, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Deepak Joshi, Ms. Pankhuri Jain and Ms. Sanskruti Tiwari, Advs.

versus

**MUNICIPAL CORPORATION  
OF DELHI (MCD) & ORS.**

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Anand Prakah, Standing Counsel for MCD with Ms. Varsha Arya and Mr. Pratham Saini, Advs for R-1/ MCD.  
Ms. Shobhana Takiar, SC for DDA with Mr. Kuljeet Singh, Adv for R-2/ DDA.  
Mr. Vikash K. Singh, Sr. Panel Counsel, Adv. for R-5 for UOI.  
Mr. Ayush Saxena, GP for R-5.  
Ms. Kirti Uppal, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Hargun Singh Kalra, Ms. Bhawana Gopalan, Mr. Samarth Sood with Mr. Varun Trivedi, Ms. Riya Gulati, Ms. Ashmita Shukla and Ms. Piya Uppal, Advs. for R-6.

**CORAM:  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL**

**ORDER  
20.08.2026**

%



1. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following reliefs:

- A. A Writ of *Mandamus*, or any other appropriate writ, order, or direction, commanding Respondent Nos. 1 (MCD) and 5 (Delhi Police) to strictly injunct Respondent No. 6 from proceeding with any demolition, excavation, or construction at Property No. D-22; and/or
- B. A Writ of *Mandamus*, or any other appropriate writ, order, or direction, commanding Respondent No. 6 to commission, at their sole risk and expense, the identical Expert Committee from the Department of Civil Engineering, IIT Delhi (which previously submitted the forensic report dated 09.01.2023); and/or
- C. A Writ of *Mandamus*, or any other appropriate writ, order, or direction, commanding Respondents stipulating that any future construction, demolition, or excavation activities shall only commence upon the specific advisory and structural safeguarding approval of the said Expert Committee, with a further absolute moratorium on the execution of any such approved activities until the complete cessation of the ongoing monsoon/rainy season, to preempt any aggravation of the structural trauma inflicted upon the Petitioner's property (D-23); and/or



- D. Writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the Respondent No. 1 to act in accordance with the law and ensure that the Respondent No. 6 adequately repairs the damage caused to the property of the Petitioner situated at D 23, Jangpura Extension, New Delhi, before continuing with the construction; And/Or
- E. A Writ of *Mandamus*, or any other appropriate writ, order, or direction, commanding Respondent Nos. 1 and 5 to strictly enforce the statutory guidelines pertaining to noise pollution and public nuisance, thereby explicitly restraining Respondent No. 6 from undertaking any noise-generating demolition, excavation, or construction activities at Property No. D-22 outside the permissible diurnal window of 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM except Sundays;

2. The grievance of the petitioner is with regard to the demolition exercise being carried out in the adjoining property in *D-22, Jangpura Extension, New Delhi* ('subject property').
3. The submission of the petitioner is that the demolition being carried out in the subject property is damaging the petitioner's property and cracks have developed in the petitioner's property.
4. Counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-MCD on advance notice submits that for the purposes of carrying out demolition, no permission is required from MCD. It is only after the demolition exercise is completed that a party applies to MCD for sanction of a building plan.
5. Mr. Kirti Uppal, senior counsel appearing on behalf of the private



respondent no.6, submits that all due care and caution shall be maintained while carrying out the demolition exercise so that no damage is caused to the petitioner's property. In the event, damage is caused to the petitioner's property, the respondent no.6 shall duly rectify the same.

6. Taking the aforesaid statement on record and binding respondent no.6 to the same, the present writ petition is disposed of.

7. In the event, the petitioner has any further grievance, it would be open to the petitioner to invoke appropriate remedies available in law.

8. Pending applications stand disposed of.

**AMIT BANSAL, J**

**AUGUST 20, 2026**

*Rzu*