



\$~108

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

CNR No. DLHC010388232026

+ **W.P.(C) 12048/2026 & CM APPL. 55922/2026, CM APPL. 55921/2026**

ANUJ SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Kuriakose Varghese, Mr. Akshat Gogna, Ms. Riya Sara Renchen and Ms. Shriya Tandon, Advocates

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Nishant Gautam, CGSC with Ms. Kavya Shukla, Mr. Vineet Negi and Mr. Vibhav V. Nath, Advocates

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

20.08.2026

%

1. By way of the present writ petition, the petitioner seeks following reliefs:

- a. Issue a Writ/Order or any such direction in the nature of Mandamus directing the Respondents to forthwith withdraw/recall/quash the revocation letter dated 20.11.2024 pertaining to the Passport of the Petitioner bearing no. Z5712925;
- b. Issue a Writ/Order or any such direction in the nature of Mandamus directing the Respondents, in keeping with prayer (a), to restore status quo ante pertaining to passport no Z5712925;
- c. Issue a Writ/Order or any such direction in the nature of Mandamus directing the Respondents to call for records for the



revocation process pertaining to File No. ML0074390140921;

- d. Issue a Writ/Order or any such direction in the nature of Mandamus directing the Respondents not take any adverse action against the Petitioner without giving him an opportunity to be heard in 60 accordance with the principles of natural justice and the judicially crystalized principle of *audi alteram partem*; and..."

2. Issue notice. The learned counsel appearing on advance notice on behalf of the respondents accepts notice.
3. Briefly stated the facts of the present case, as mentioned in the writ petition, are that the petitioner is an Indian citizen residing in Malaysia. His passport was revoked on 20.11.2024 on the ground of a pending criminal case arising from a matrimonial dispute. It is alleged that before revoking the passport, the authorities had issued a show-cause notice on 01.11.2024, to which the petitioner had submitted a detailed reply with supporting documents on 10.11.2024. The petitioner contends that his reply was not considered and no personal hearing was given before the passport was revoked. The petitioner therefore challenges the revocation as contrary to the Passports Act and principles of natural justice and seeks restoration of his passport.
4. After hearing learned counsels appearing on behalf of the parties and in view of the issue involved in the instant petition, this Court finds it appropriate to direct that the present petition filed before this Court be treated as a representation on behalf of the petitioner, and the respondents are directed to decide the same, by way of a reasoned order, within a period of four weeks from date, under intimation to the petitioner herein.
5. In above terms, the present petition alongwith pending application, stands disposed of.



6. In case of any further grievance, the petitioner will be at liberty to approach this Court by filing fresh writ petition.
7. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

AUGUST 20, 2026/ns
TD