



\$~143

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
CNR No. DLHC010386952026
+ **W.P.(C) 12021/2026 & CM APPL. 55721/2026, CM APPL. 55722/2026**

ADITYA MANI TRIPATHI Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Adhitya Srinivasan, Mr. Srajan Tyagi, Mr. Rishi Raj Singh, Adv.**

versus

JAWAHARLAL NEHRU UNIVERSITY Respondent

Through: **Ms. Ginny Jetley Rautray, Mr. Ankit Adhikari, Adv.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ORDER

% **19.08.2026**

1. This is a writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following prayers:-

“A. Allow the present Petition;

B. Issue a writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction directing the Respondent to admit the Petitioner in the Masters Programme in Disaster Studies, subject to the outcome of the Proctorial Inquiry pending against the Petitioner;

C. Call for records from the Respondent University with respect to the admission process for the Masters Programme in Disaster Studies and with respect to the Proctorial Inquiry initiated against the Petitioner;...”

2. For the reasons stated in the petition, issue notice.
3. Ms. Routray, learned counsel, accepts notice on behalf of the



respondent.

4. The brief facts of the case are that the petitioner has completed B.A (Hons.) in French from the School of Languages, Literature and Culture Studies, Centre of French and Francophone Studies, JNU and has sought admission in the Masters Programme in Disaster Studies for the Academic Session 2026-28.
5. It is the case of the petitioner that since the petitioner was involved in a Proctorial Inquiry, the admission could not progress due to the pendency of the Proctorial Inquiry.
6. Ms. Routray, learned counsel, states on behalf of the respondent JNU that there is no restriction on the application of the petitioner from being processed and subject to the petitioner producing the relevant documents, the petitioner's admission in the application for Masters Programme in Disaster Studies for the Academic Session 2026-28 will be processed.
7. The statement of Ms. Routray, learned counsel, is taken on record and the respondent is bound by the same.
8. In this view of the matter, Mr. Srinivasan, learned counsel for the petitioner, does not press the petition and the petition is disposed of granting liberty to the petitioner to revive the same in case of any difficulty.

JASMEET SINGH, J

AUGUST 19, 2026/sp



This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.

The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 21/08/2026 at 12:03:28