



\$~113

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

CNR No. DLHC010386682026

+ **W.P.(C) 11996/2026 & CM APPL. 55686/2026, CM APPL. 55687/2026**

TREIBACHER INDUSTRIE AG

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Moazzam Khan, Mr. Alipak Banerjee, Mr. Parva Khare, Ms. Muskan Sharma, Mr. Prince Kumar, Advocates

versus

**UNION OF INDIA, THROUGH MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT
FOREST AND CLIMATE CHANGE & ORS. Respondents**

Through: Ms. Rukhmini Bobde, CGSC with Mr. Daksh Bharadwaj, GP and with Mr. Vinayak Aren, Mr. Amlaan Kumar, Ms. Aishwarya Nigam, Advocates for R-1 and R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

19.08.2026

%

1. By way of the present petition, the petitioner seeks following prayers:

“...a. Issue a writ of Mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, commanding the Respondents to consider and decide, by way of a reasoned order, the pending application for a transit permit under the Basel Convention Notification No. AE 25-0036, within 4 weeks;

b. In the alternative to prayer (a), issue a writ of Mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, order, or direction, commanding Respondent No. 1 to provide any comments to the Petitioner within 2 weeks regarding any concerns about their application, and make a decision regarding the same within 2 weeks from the



submission of such information or documents;

c. Issue a writ of Mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, order, or direction, commanding Respondent No. 2 to furnish specific and substantive comments/clarification to Respondent No. 1 within one week;

d. Declare the continuing inaction of Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 in processing the application as arbitrary, unreasonable, and violative of Article 14 of the Constitution of India:

e. Award costs of the present petition in favour of the Petitioner....”

2. Issue notice.
3. Ms. Rukhmini Bobde, the learned CGSC accepts notice on behalf of respondent nos. 1 and 2.
4. Having heard the learned counsels appearing for the parties, this Court directs the competent authority to treat this petition as a representation on behalf of the petitioner and decide the same within a period of six weeks from date, under intimation to the petitioner and this Court.
5. The petitioner is at liberty to approach this Court again, in case the need so arises, by way of filing a fresh writ petition.
6. In above terms, the present petition, along with pending applications, stands disposed of.
7. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

AUGUST 19, 2026/zp
VS