



\$~110

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

CNR No. DLHC010386472026

+ **W.P.(C) 11990/2026**

GABION TECHNOLOGIES INDIA LIMITED

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Bharat Chugh, Mr. Jai Allagh,
Mr. Abhinav Aggarwal, Mr. Sarthak
Mittal, Mr. Pushp Sharma and Mr.
Saksham Tyagi, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Farman Ali, CGSC with Ms.
Usha Jamnal, Mr. Sahil Sharma and
Ms. Tanya, Advocates for
Respondents with Mr Vinay Kaushik,
Government Pleader
Mr. Akshay Sharma, Mr. Akshay
Shankar and Ms. Ananya Dewan,
Advocates for R-4.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

% **19.08.2026**

CM APPL. 55668/2026 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) 11990/2026 & CM APPL. 55667/2026

3. By way of the instant petition, the petitioner seeks following reliefs:
“a) Issue a writ of certiorari, or any other appropriate writ, order



or direction, quashing and setting aside the Order dated 27.06.2026 bearing No. 30309/GeM/Gen/77/E3ES issued by Respondent No. 3 (Annexure P-1), insofar as it debars the Petitioner for a period of six months from participation in any bid in the Area of Responsibility of Project Udayak;

- b) Issue a writ of mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, directing Respondents No. 1, 2 and 3 to forthwith withdraw, recall and expunge the said debarment from all records, registers and databases maintained by or on behalf of the Ministry of Defence and the Border Roads Organisation;
- c) Award to the Petitioner the costs of and incidental to the present petition...”

4. Issue notice. The learned counsel appearing on advance notice on behalf of respondent nos.1 and 4 accepts notice.

5. The petitioner states that it had made detailed representations to respondent no. 3 seeking withdrawal of the debarment order, primarily on the ground that the process which was to be followed for passing such order has not been followed. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner states that no show cause notice or opportunity of hearing was granted to the petitioner, before the order was passed.

6. After hearing arguments addressed on behalf of the petitioner as well as respondent no. 1, this Court finds it appropriate to direct that the present petition filed before this Court be treated as a representation on behalf of the petitioner, and the respondents are directed to decide the same, within a period of one week from date, under intimation to the petitioner herein.

7. In above terms, the present petition stands disposed of.

8. In case of any further grievance, the petitioner will be at liberty to approach this Court by filing a fresh writ petition.

9. Copy of this order be given *dasti* under the signature of Court Master.



10. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

AUGUST 19, 2026/ns

vs