



\$~106

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

CNR No. DLHC010386202026

+ **W.P.(C) 11977/2026 & CM APPL. 55624/2026**

MR JEEVAN KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Karun Sharma, Advocate**

Versus

UNION OF INDIA THROUGH SECRETARY

MOHUA AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: **Mr. Shighra Kumar, SPC for R-1 to R-4 with Mr. Anil Devlal, GP.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

%

19.08.2026

1. By way of the instant petition, the petitioner seeks following reliefs:

- “(i) Issue a writ of Mandamus directing the Respondents to Undertake immediate sloping, levelling, drainage improvement and all necessary civil works in the courtyard adjoining Quarter No. 1105, Type-IV, Ground Floor, Sector-12, R.K. Puram, New Delhi, within a time-bound period.
- (ii) Issue a writ directing the Respondents to examine and take appropriate disciplinary action against officials responsible for furnishing false or misleading reports regarding completion of the work.
- (iii) Issue a writ directing the Respondents to formulate and implement effective measures to prevent recurring water logging and mosquito breeding in the Petitioner's premises.
- (iv) Award compensation to the Petitioner for the hardship, mental agony, inconvenience and financial loss suffered



on account of prolonged inaction and negligent maintenance by the Respondents.

(v) Direct reimbursement of the penalty and expenses incurred by the Petitioner in proceedings arising from mosquito breeding attributable to the Respondents' failure to maintain the premises.

2. Issue notice. The learned counsel appearing on advance notice on behalf of respondent nos.1 to 4 accepts notice.
3. Though the representation filed by the petitioner has been rejected, the ground on which it was rejected, is mentioned as '*it attended and completed as on date of inspection. The work done by the concerned division is found satisfactory. It has been found that PG done regarding to get extra work of concrete paving in the back courtyard of flat could not be considered as it is not in purview of GPRA Norms and is a policy matter. As there is no provision for making pavement in Back courtyard. Hence it could not be done by the site staff. In the view of above fact finding the complaints/PG are considered as completed and closed by this office*'.
4. The aforesaid reply has been found totally unsatisfactory by this Court.
5. In these circumstances, this Court finds it appropriate to direct that the present petition filed before this Court be treated as a fresh representation on behalf of the petitioner, and the needful be done by the concerned Executive Engineer within a period of one week from date, under intimation to the petitioner herein.
6. In above terms, the present petition stands disposed of.
7. In case of any further grievance, the petitioner will be at liberty to approach this Court by filing a fresh writ petition.
8. Copy of this order be given *dasti*, under the signature of Court Master.



9. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

AUGUST 19, 2026/ns

vs