



\$~75

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

Date of Decision: 19th August, 2026

CNR No. DLHC010385222026

+ **CRL.M.C. 6076/2026 & CRL.M.A. 25441/2026**

ANSHUL & ORS.

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sanjiv Sharma and Mr. D.K. Shukla,
Advocates along with petitioners-in-person
(Through VC)

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Rajkumar, APP for State with SI
Narendra Kumar, PS Maidan Garhi
Mr. Avinash Kumar Jaiswal and Mr.
Nishant Singh, Advocates for R-2
along with R-2 in person (Through
VC)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

J U D G M E N T (oral)

1. Petitioners herein seek quashing of FIR No. 402/2022 dated 01.12.2022, registered at P.S. Maidan Garhi, for commission of offences under Sections 498A/406/506/354/34 IPC, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise arrived at between the parties.
2. The marriage between complainant (respondent No.2 herein) and petitioner No.1 was solemnized on 22.01.2022, as per Hindu rites and ceremonies. No child is born from the abovesaid wedlock.



3. However, due to certain temperamental differences, the parties started living separately w.e.f. 05.04.2022 and when a complaint was lodged by respondent No. 2, it resulted into registration of the abovesaid FIR.
4. Charge-sheet has already been filed and charges have also been ascertained.
5. Fortunately, when the parties were referred to mediation, they were able to resolve all their disputes amicably under the *aegis of Delhi Mediation Centre, Saket Courts, Delhi* on 23.12.2025 and have been able to resolve all their disputes and have decided to part ways gracefully.
6. Copy of such mediation order has been placed on record.
7. It is in the abovesaid backdrop that quashing is being sought.
8. Respondent No.2 and her counsel have joined the proceedings through *video-conferencing*. She has been duly identified by her counsel and I.O., who is present in Court.
9. When asked, respondent No.2 submitted that the matter has been amicably settled and she reiterates the terms of settlement as recorded in the settlement. She submits that there is already a divorce between them by way of mutual consent on 18.04.2026. She states that she has agreed to accept a total sum of Rs.4,15,000/- as full and final settlement *in lieu of istridhan*, alimony, maintenance for self (past, present and future). She has already received Rs. 3,15,000/- and the balance amount of Rs. 1,00,000/- has been received today through online-mode. She states that she has entered into the abovesaid settlement out of her own free will, without any coercion and influence from any corner whatsoever and therefore, she would have '*no objection*' if FIR in question is quashed.



10. In view of the settlement arrived at between the parties, continuing with criminal proceedings would serve no useful purpose, especially, when dispute does not involve any public interest and is, primarily, private in nature. In any case, even the complainant does not wish to press any charges against the petitioners.

11. Accordingly, exercising inherent powers vested in this Court under Section 528 of the BNSS, it is deemed appropriate to quash the instant FIR.

12. Consequently, to secure the ends of justice, FIR No. 402/2022 dated 01.12.2022, registered at P.S. Maidan Garhi, for commission of offences under Sections 498A/406/506/354/34 IPC, along with all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom, is hereby, quashed.

1. Original affidavits of the parties, copies of which have been placed on record in the present proceedings, shall be submitted before the learned Trial Court within four weeks from today, so that these become part of Trial Court Record.

13. The petition stands disposed of in aforesaid terms.

14. Pending application also stands disposed of.

(MANOJ JAIN)
JUDGE

AUGUST 19, 2026/dr/js