



\$~78

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

# **CNR No. DLHC010383092026**

+ **CRL.M.C. 6043/2026**

**ASHISH SHARMA AND ORS.**

.....Petitioners

Through: **Mr. Rajbir Singh Sagar, Adv.**

versus

**STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR**

.....Respondents

Through: **Mr. Raghuinder Verma, APP with  
Mr. Aditya Vikram Singh, Adv.  
SI- Sunil, PS: GTB Enclave and  
ASI- Sandeep Kumar**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE**

**ORDER**

%

**18.08.2026**

**CRL.M.A. 25260/2026** (*Exemption*)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The present application is disposed of.

**CRL.M.A. 25261/2026** (*Delay 44 days in re-filing*)

3. By virtue of the present petition, the petitioners seek condonation of delay of *44 days* in re-filing the present petition.
4. For the reasons stated therein, the present application is allowed and the delay of *44 days* in re-filing the present petition is condoned.
5. The present application is disposed of.



**CRL.M.C. 6043/2026**

6. By virtue of the present petition under *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (*BNSS*), the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.216/2021 dated 31.07.2021 registered at PS.: G.T.B Enclave under *Sections 356/379/34/195A* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (*IPC*) and all proceedings emanating therefrom as the petitioners and respondent no.2 have mutually settled all their disputes *vide* Settlement Agreement dated 30.03.2026.

7. Issue notice.

8. Learned APP accepts notice and submits that he has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

9. Learned counsel for the respondent no.2 also accepts notice and submits that the respondent no.2 has no objection if the present petition is allowed.

10. This Court has further had the occasion of interacting with the petitioners as well as the respondent no.2, who all are present in Court. They affirm the terms of the aforesaid Settlement Agreement dated 30.03.2026, and as such, the parties have agreed to put a quietus to their disputes. Respondent no.2 hence submits that she does not wish to continue with the aforesaid FIR against the petitioners.

11. Petitioners present in Court have agreed to pay a sum of Rs.25,000/- in the bank account of the respondent no.2 within a period of *one week* of. Details whereof are as under:

Name: Ritika  
Bank Name: Bank of Baroda  
Account No: 40660100011116  
IFSC Code: BARB0VIVEKV



12. The petitioners and the respondent no.2, present in Court, as well as their credentials, as on record, have been duly identified by the IO.

13. As noted above, a settlement based on mutual terms between the petitioners and the respondent no.2 is the basis of filing the present petition, which is accompanied by their respective affidavit(s) *qua* the said effect. In view thereof, the present is a fit case for quashing the aforesaid FIR. Accordingly, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raguvanshi & Anr.:* (2013) 4 SCC 58, *Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr.:* (2012) 10 SCC 303 and *Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.:* (2014) 6 SCC 466, and also since there is nothing left to corroborate and prove the case of the prosecution, continuation of the present FIR will be an exercise in futility.

14. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.216/2021 dated 31.07.2021 registered at PS.: G.T.B Enclave under *Sections 356/379/34/195A* of the IPC and all proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.

15. As such, the present petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

**SAURABH BANERJEE, J**

**AUGUST 18, 2026/Ab**