



\$~70

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

CNR No. DLHC010381942026

+ CRL.M.C. 6028/2026, CRL.M.A. 25206/2026, CRL.M.A. 25207/2026

SUNIL MOHAN WIG

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sumiit V Rastogi and Mr. Ejaz Ahmed, Advs. with petitioner in person

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP for State with Ms. Upasana Bakshi and Mr. Gourav Singh, Advocates
IO (appearance not given)
R-2 to 6 present in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

20.08.2026

%

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (**BNSS**), the petitioner seeks quashing of FIR No.188/2020 dated 27.07.2020 registered at PS.: Hazrat Nizamuddin, Delhi under *Sections 287/304A/336* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (**IPC**) and all proceedings emanating therefrom as the petitioner and respondent nos.2 to 6 have mutually settled all their disputes *vide* Settlement Agreement dated 24.08.2020 and Settlement Agreement (Addendum) dated 30.05.2026.

2. Issue notice.



3. Learned APP for the State accepts notice and submits that he has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

4. Respondent nos.2 to 6 also accept notice and submit that the respondent nos.2 to 6 have no objection if the present petition is allowed.

5. This Court has further had the occasion of interacting with the petitioner as well as the respondents nos.2 to 6, who all are present in Court. They affirm the terms of the aforesaid Settlement Agreement dated 24.08.2020 and Settlement Agreement (Addendum) dated 30.05.2026, in pursuant whereof, the petitioner has already paid them total settlement amount of Rs.15,75,000/-, as full and final settlement of all their present, past and future claims. Moreover, this Court has also been apprised of the fact that the petitioner in terms of last order dated 18.08.2026 had also deposited an additional sum of Rs.2,25,000/- in the bank account of the respondent no.5. As such, the parties have agreed to put a quietus to their disputes. Respondent nos.2 to 6 hence submit that they do not wish to continue with the aforesaid FIR against the petitioner.

6. The petitioner and the respondent nos.2 to 6, present in Court, as well as their credentials, as on record, have been duly identified by the IO.

7. As noted above, a settlement based on mutual terms between the petitioner and the respondent nos.2 to 6 is the basis of filing the present petition, which is accompanied by their respective affidavit(s) *qua* the said effect. In view thereof, the present is a fit case for quashing the aforesaid FIR. Accordingly, as also following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jitendra Raghuwanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raguvanshi & Anr.: (2013) 4 SCC 58***, ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr.: (2012) 10 SCC 303*** and ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.:***



(2014) 6 SCC 466, and also since there is nothing left to corroborate and prove the case of the prosecution, continuation of the present FIR will be an exercise in futility.

8. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.188/2020 dated 27.07.2020 registered at PS.: Hazrat Nizamuddin, Delhi under Sections 287/304A/336 of the IPC and all proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.

9. Accordingly, the present petition, alongwith pending applications, is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

AUGUST 20, 2026/bh