



2026:DHC:7180-DB



\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI*****Date of decision: 25.08.2026***# **CNR No. DLHC010379232026**+ **MISC. APPEAL(PMLA) 113/2026****THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR, DIRECTORATE OF
ENFORCEMENT, DELHI** AppellantThrough: **Mr.Rajat Nair, Mr.Dhruv
Pande, Mr.Imon Bhattacharya,
Mr.Sarthak Sehgal, Advs.**

versus

MR DHARAMPAL RespondentThrough: *Nemo***CORAM:****HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA****HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVINDER DUDEJA****NAVIN CHAWLA, J. (ORAL)****CM APPL. 54489/2026 & CM APPL. 54492/2026 (Exemption)**

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

**MISC. APPEAL(PMLA) 113/2026 & CM APPL. 54490/2026, CM
APPL. 54491/2026**2. This appeal has been filed under Section 42 of the Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002 (in short, 'PMLA') against the order 18.11.2025 passed by the Appellate Tribunal (PMLA), New Delhi in FPA-PMLA-5856/DLI/2023 titled ***Mr.Dharampal v. The Deputy***



2026:DHC:7180-DB



Director, Directorate of Enforcement.

3. This appeal has been filed with a delay of 29 days in filing, and another 160 days in re-filing the same.
4. Section 42 of the PMLA states that the appeal shall be filed within a period of 60 days from the date of the communication of the decision or the order of the learned Appellate Tribunal to the appellant, and further restricts the power of the High Court to condone the delay, even when sufficient cause is shown, to a period not exceeding 60 days.
5. In the present case, as we have noted hereinabove, there will be a delay of almost 189 days.
6. The grounds urged in the application for condonation of delay are routine, and bureaucratic in nature.
7. We, therefore, dismiss the application seeking condonation of delay in filing and re-filing of the appeal, and consequently, the present appeal is also dismissed on the ground of limitation.
8. We, however, leave the question of law open to be raised in an appropriate proceeding.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

RAVINDER DUDEJA, J

AUGUST 25, 2026/Arya/hn