



\$~78

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

CNR No. DLHC010377322026

+ **W.P.(CRL) 2462/2026 & CRL.M.A. 24911/2026**

SHOAIB DANISH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Alok Bhachawat, Mr. Ishan Jain,
Mr. Manish Chaurasiya, Mr. Vishnu
Dhangal and Mr. Sahil Bhachawat,
Advs.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Rahul Tyagi, ASC for the State.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

% **14.08.2026**

CRL.M.A. 24910/2026 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

W.P.(CRL) 2462/2026 & CRL.M.A. 24911/2026

1. The present petition has been filed for the following reliefs:

“(a) Direct that the learned predecessor Judge, who heard the arguments on charge and reserved the order on 03.05.2024 in SC 05/2023 arising out of FIR 696/2007 PS Sarita Vihar and has since been transferred, may not pronounce the said reserved order, and further direct that the matter be placed before the learned successor Judge presently holding the Court for a fresh and limited hearing on the question of charge and for expeditious pronouncement of the order thereon;

(b) Direct that such fresh hearing of arguments on charge be conducted and concluded within a time-bound period, and that 21 the order on



*charge thereafter be pronounced expeditiously and without further delay;
(c) Pass such other and further order(s) as this Hon'ble Court may deem
fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the present case, in the
interest of justice.”*

2. The petitioner apprehends the Presiding Officer, who had earlier heard the arguments on charges on 18.05.2024, would pronounce the judgment in terms of clause-8 of the transfer order dated 01.08.2026.
3. If it happens, the petitioner shall be at liberty to take appropriate recourse in accordance with law. Even otherwise, the petitioner, in terms of the decision rendered by the Supreme Court, is always at liberty to approach the Hon'ble High Court (Administrative Side) seeking appropriate directions for rehearing of the arguments or otherwise.
4. The Court also takes judicial note of the fact that the arguments are stated to have been heard by the concerned Judge on 18.05.2024 and, despite more than two years, the order has not been pronounced. Let this aspect be considered by the appropriate Committee of the High Court on the Administrative Side.
5. Let this matter be placed by the concerned Registrar before the said Committee.
6. Petition stands disposed of.
7. Pending application also stands disposed of.

PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV, J

AUGUST 14, 2026/P