



\$~73

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

CNR No. DLHC010353472026

+ **W.P.(CRL) 2321/2026, CRL.M.A. 23492/2026**

VIJAY BANSAL

.....Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Rajesh Kumar Singh, Advocate**
with petitioner in person

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI) & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: **Mr. Yasir Rauf Ansari, ASC for**
State with ASI Bhupender Singh,
PS: Bhajanpura
Advocate (appearance not given)
for R-2 with R-2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER
25.08.2026

%

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, the petitioner seeks quashing of FIR No.106/2025 dated 26.02.2025 registered at PS.: Bhajan Pura, Delhi under *Sections 74/79* of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (**BNS**) and all proceedings emanating therefrom, in view of statement given by the respondent no.2 on 13.03.2026 before the learned District Judge (Commercial Court) North East District: Karkardooma Courts, Delhi (**learned Trial Court**) (**Annexure P-2**), whereby the petitioner and the respondent no.2 have mutually resolved their disputes.

2. Issue notice.



3. Learned ASC accepts notice and submits that he has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

4. Learned counsel for the respondent no.2 also accepts notice and submits that the respondent no.2 has no objection if the present petition is allowed.

5. This Court has further had the occasion of interacting with the petitioners as well as the respondent no.2, who all are present in Court. They affirm the aforesaid statement given by the respondent no.2 before the learned Trial Court on 13.03.2026, whereby the petitioner has already paid the respondent no.2 a total sum of Rs.12,50,000/- as full and final settlement of all her present, past and future claims and as such, the parties have agreed to put a quietus to their disputes. Respondent no.2 hence submits that she does not wish to continue with the aforesaid FIR against the petitioner.

6. The petitioner and the respondent no.2, present in Court, as well as their credentials, as on record, have been duly identified by the IO.

7. As noted above, statement given by the respondent no.2 before learned Trial Court, based on mutual terms between the petitioner and the respondent no.2 is the basis of filing the present petition, which is accompanied by their respective affidavit(s) *qua* the said effect. In view thereof, the present is a fit case for quashing the aforesaid FIR. Accordingly, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raguvanshi & Anr.: (2013) 4 SCC 58***, ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr.: (2012) 10 SCC 303*** and ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.: (2014) 6 SCC 466***, and also since there is nothing left to corroborate and prove the case of the



prosecution, continuation of the present FIR will be an exercise in futility.

8. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.106/2025 dated 26.02.2025 registered at PS.: Bhajan Pura, Delhi under *Sections* 74/79 of the BNS and all proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.

9. As such, the present petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

AUGUST 25, 2026/So