



\$~62

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 5337/2026, CRL.M.A. 22382/2026

VIKAS AGGARWAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Akhila Sathas and Ms. Ankita
Sharma, Advocates with petitioner
in person

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Raghuinder Verma, APP with
Ms. Upasna Bakshi, Advocate with
SI Suneel Kumar Sahu, PS: South
Rohini
R-2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER
29.07.2026

%

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner has handed over a copy of Settlement Agreement dated 26.07.2025, which is taken on record.
2. By virtue of the present petition under *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (***BNSS***), the petitioner seeks quashing of the FIR No.291/2021 dated 14.07.2021 registered at PS.: South Rohini, Delhi under *Section 420* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (***IPC***) and all proceedings emanating therefrom, in view of Settlement Agreement dated 26.07.2025 before the Mediation Centre, Rohini Courts, Delhi, whereby the petitioner and the respondent no.2 have mutually resolved their disputes.
3. Issue notice.



4. Learned APP accepts notice and submits that he has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

5. Learned counsel for the respondent no.2 also accepts notice and submits that the respondent no.2 has no objection if the present petition is allowed.

6. This Court has further had the occasion of interacting with the petitioner as well as the respondent no.2, who all are present in Court. They affirm the terms of the aforesaid Settlement Agreement dated 26.07.2025, in pursuance whereof, the petitioner has already paid her the total settlement amount of Rs.25,00,000/- as full and final settlement of all her present, past and future claims, etc. and as such, the parties have agreed to put a quietus to their disputes. Respondent no.2 hence submits that she does not wish to continue with the aforesaid FIR against the petitioner.

7. The petitioner and the respondent no.2, present in Court, as well as their credentials as on record, have been identified by the Investigating Officer.

8. As noted above, a settlement based on mutual terms between the petitioner and the respondent no.2 is the basis of filing the present petition, which is accompanied by their respective affidavit(s) *qua* the said effect. In view thereof, the present is a fit case for quashing the aforesaid FIR. Accordingly, as also following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jitendra Raghuwanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raguvanshi & Anr.:*** (2013) 4 SCC 58, ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr.:*** (2012) 10 SCC 303 and ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.:*** (2014) 6 SCC 466, and also since there is nothing left to corroborate and prove the



case of the prosecution, continuation of the present FIR will be an exercise in futility.

9. Therefore, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.291/2021 dated 14.07.2021 registered at PS.: South Rohini, Delhi under *Section 420* of the IPC as also all proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.

10. Accordingly, the present petition, alongwith the pending application, is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

JULY 29, 2026/So