



\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

CNR No. DLHC010319242026

+ **BAIL APPLN. 2843/2026**

VIKASH@GOLU

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Damanjit Kaur, Adv.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Manoj Pant, APP for State.

SI Anil Kumar, PS GTB Enclave.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MADHU JAIN

ORDER

20.08.2026

%

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.
2. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 483 read with Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter referred to as the 'BNSS') seeking grant of interim bail in **FIR No.275/2022** for the offences punishable under Sections 302/201/212/120B/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter referred to as the 'IPC') read with Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station G.T.B Enclave, District Shahdara, Delhi in SC No. 385/2023 in case titled '**State v. Vikash @ Golu & Ors.**' and assailing the impugned order dated 09.06.2026 passed by the learned ASJ-07, Shahdara District, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi along with affidavit in support. The aforesaid interim bail is being sought on humanitarian grounds to facilitate the admission of his daughter in Class VI.



3. Status report has been filed by the State.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, as per the Status Report, non-planned admissions in Government schools have already been closed. It is, therefore, submitted that the presence of the petitioner is all the more necessary to enable him to explore the possibility of securing admission of his daughter in a private school.
5. He further submits that the petitioner's wife is working as a housemaid in different households and is single-handedly looking after their three children. It is submitted that the petitioner is, therefore, required to arrange the necessary funds for the admission of his daughter. In view thereof, learned counsel submits that, if the petitioner cannot be granted interim bail for a period of 45 days, he may at least be granted interim bail for a period of one week.
6. Learned counsel for the complainant opposes the application and submits that the petitioner has not disclosed the name of any school in which he proposes to secure admission of his daughter. He further submits that, as per the verification report, the presence of either parent is sufficient for the purpose of admission and, therefore, the presence of the petitioner is not necessary, as his wife can also complete the admission formalities. He further submits that the petitioner has one prior criminal antecedent and, considering that his presence is not necessary for the admission of his daughter, no ground for grant of interim bail is made out.
7. Learned APP for the State also opposes the application and submits that the allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature. It is further submitted that the petitioner has one prior criminal antecedent and the present case involves offences under Sections 302/201/212/120B/34 of the IPC and



Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, 1959. It is, therefore, prayed that the application seeking interim bail be dismissed.

8. This Court has heard the learned counsels for the parties.

9. As per the Status Report, the period for non-planned admissions has already expired and such admissions have now been closed. It is also stated that the same position applies not only to Government schools but also to private schools falling under the Ministry of Education/Department of Education, Government of NCT of Delhi.

10. So far as the financial aspect is concerned, learned counsel for the petitioner himself submits that the wife of the petitioner is working as a housemaid and is single-handedly looking after their three children in Delhi. In these circumstances, the plea that the petitioner is required to be released solely for arranging funds for the admission of his daughter does not persuade this Court, particularly when the admission formalities can be completed by either of the parents. Accordingly, no ground for grant of interim bail is made out.

11. Therefore, the present application is dismissed. Pending application(s), if any, also stand(s), disposed of.

12. The order be uploaded on the website *forthwith*.

MADHU JAIN, J

AUGUST 20, 2026/b/PG