



\$~74

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 9846/2026**
SIDDHIVINAYAK SHIKSHAN PRASARK MANDALS R.K.
B.ED. COLLEGE

.....Petitioner
Through: Mr. Sanjay Sharawat, Sr. Adv. with
Mr. Mayank Manish, Mr. Ravi Kant,
Mr. Vineet Upadhyay, Mr. Ayush
Aanand, Advs.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION AND ANR
.....Respondents
Through: Mr. Hardik Rupal, Adv.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ORDER
% **21.07.2026**
CM APPL. 45877/2026

Exemption is granted, subject to all just exceptions.

The application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) 9846/2026

1. This is a writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following prayers :-

“(a) a Civil Writ under Article 226 of the Constitution of India of Mandamus or of any other nature under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for seeking stay of the Withdrawal



Order dated 16.06.2026 issued by the Respondent No.2, whereby, it has withdrawn the recognition of the Petitioner's B.Ed. course, in an arbitrary and illegal manner; during pendency of the Statutory Appeal of the Petitioner, preferred against the said Withdrawal Order, before the Appeal Committee of Respondent No.1; and

(b) a Civil Writ under Article 226 of the Constitution of India of Mandamus or of any other nature under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for seeking direction to Respondent No.2 to reflect the name of Petitioner Institution on its official website as a recognized institution for running the B.Ed. course, and consequently communicate the said recognized status of the Petitioner, to its Affiliating Body, and the State Department of Higher Education, enabling the Petitioner, to participate in counselling & admission process for the present Academic Session 2026-27; and/or..."

2. For the reasons stated in the petition, issue notice.
3. Mr. Rupal, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.
4. After some arguments, Mr. Sharawat, learned senior counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, states that he shall be satisfied if the appeal committee hears and decide the petitioner's appeal under Section 18 of the National Council for Teacher Education Act, 1993 within 1 week from today.
5. In the present case, the the recognition of petitioner's college has abruptly been withdrawn by the respondents, which has been running



for the past 18 years, i.e. since 2008.

6. The deficiencies pointed out in the withdrawal order *prima-facie* seem to have been complied with by the petitioner.
7. Since the petitioner, which is a running college, has expended considerable time, energy and resources and the withdrawal order passed withdrawing the recognition of petitioner by the respondent No. 2 shall cause prejudice to the petitioner, in case the statutory remedy of the petitioner is not put to use in its true spirit.
8. The appeal committee is therefore directed to hear and decide the appeal of the petitioner within 1 week from today. The same shall be communicated/ uploaded within 2 days thereafter.
9. The Court has not examined the merits of the case.
10. The petition is disposed of in aforesaid terms.

JASMEET SINGH, J

JULY 21, 2026/sp