



\$~2

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

BAIL APPLN. 2785/2026

PARVESH MANN @ SAGAR (IN JC)

.....Applicant

Through: Ms. Arya Pathak, Mr. Avi Kalra,
Mr. Prateek Lakra, Advocates

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP with Mr.
Aditya Vikram Singh, Ms. Upasana
Bakshi and Ms. Divya Bakshi,
Advocates with Insp. Sudhir Rathi,
PS: Narela Industrial Area
Mr. Akshay and Mr. Hrithik
Shokeen, Advocates for victim

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

24.07.2026

%

1. By virtue of the present application under *Section 483* read with *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, the applicant seeks grant of interim bail for a period of *four weeks* in proceedings arising out of FIR No.359/2022 dated 07.05.2022 registered at PS: Narela Industrial Area under *Sections 302/120-B/34* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (**IPC**) and *Section 25/27* of the Arms Act, 1959 (**Arms Act**), on the ground that his father, aged about *76 years*, is admitted in the RLKC Hospital and Metro Heart Institute for cardiac ailments.
2. This Court has heard learned counsel for the applicant and the learned APP, who has handed over the Status Report, which is taken on record.
3. Record reveals that aside from the present case which is heinous in nature, there are as many as *13 other criminal cases* against the applicant



of a grave and serious nature involving *Sections 302/307/387/384/ 365* IPC, as also other offences under the Arms Act and the Maharashtra Control of Organised Crime Act, 1999 (**MACOCA**), several of which were registered while the applicant was out on bail. In fact, the applicant has also been convicted in one of such cases under *Sections 3/4* MACOCA, and therefore, is a habitual offender.

4. Besides the above, as per the Nominal Roll also the applicant is a high-risk prisoner, who has been awarded as many as *nine punishments* for misbehaviour and other offences during his time in jail.

5. The conduct of the applicant has, alas, been throughout such, which does not call for grant of an interim bail in his favour, more so, whence the grounds therefor are to take care of his father, since, as per learned APP the applicant has *four sisters* who are also available for making necessary arrangements for care and assistance of their parents, thus, presence of the applicant is not indispensable for his father's health issues. There is a lull about any of them, and/ or their families and/ or their current status.

6. Therefore, taking a cumulative view of the aforesaid factors, the case canvassed by the applicant seen together with his conduct while in prison as also while out on bail, does not behove any confidence in this Court for allowing the present application.

7. As such, the present application is dismissed.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

JULY 24, 2026/So