



\$~71

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 2783/2026 & CRL.M.A. 21100/2026**

CHINTA DEVI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. K.K. Jayaram, Mr. Deepanshu
Gola and Mr. Sagar Sharma,
Advocates

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sunil Kumar Gautam, APP for
State with SI Rahul Bairwa, PS S.P.
Badli

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER

21.07.2026

%

1. Applicant seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 0492/2026 dated 11.06.2026 registered at Police Station Samaipur Badli for commission of offences under Section 110/115(2)/3(5) of *Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023* (corresponding Sections 308/323/34 IPC).

2. The aforesaid FIR was registered on the basis of statement of complainant Suraj Kala Devi. On the date of incident i.e. 10.06.2026, she was accompanying her son Narender Dubey on a scooter and were returning home, after making some purchases. *En route*, at Chandal Chowk, there was lot of rush on account of weekly market and her son asked people to move aside which resulted in verbal confrontation with one Sachin (co-accused). Sachin called his brother Jeetu and father Bharat. Sachin banged a liquor bottle on the head of Narender, while Jeetu picked up a stone lying on the road

BAIL APPLN. 2783/2026

1



and hit him on his face, accused Bharat gave him a blow with iron rod. *When complainant tried to intervene, applicant Chinta Devi (mother of Sachin) arrived at the scene. She also grabbed complainant by her hair and assaulted her with kicks and punches.*

3. Earlier, when applicant prayed for anticipatory bail, she was given interim protection by the learned Sessions Court as would be evident from order dated 19.06.2026 but, eventually, her application was dismissed on 06.07.2026 while observing that her custodial interrogation would be required in order to recover the weapon of offence.

4. Admittedly, as far as applicant Chinta Devi is concerned, she was not armed with any weapon.

5. Learned counsel for applicant submits that applicant herein has been falsely implicated, while also supplementing that there is existing rivalry between the parties.

6. Applicant is stated to be 45 years of age, with no previous involvement.

7. Heard learned Addl. P.P. for State. Concerned investigating officer is also present with case diary. Status report filed by the investigating agency before the learned Sessions Court is already on record and the reply remains the same.

8. Keeping in mind the overall facts and circumstances of the case, applicant is directed to join the investigation as and when so directed and in the event of arrest, arresting officer/investigating officer/SHO shall release her on bail on her furnishing personal bond and surety bond in a sum of Rs. 25,000/- each.

9. It is, however, made clear that in case, despite due advance notice in writing, she fails to join the investigation, relief granted to her would be



deemed to be cancelled.

10. Application seeking anticipatory bail stands disposed of in aforesaid terms.

11. Other pending application also stands disposed of in aforesaid terms.

MANOJ JAIN, J

JULY 21, 2026/dr/sk