



\$~9

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

CNR No. DLHC010309252026

+ **BAIL APPLN. 2777/2026**

AJAY SINGH THAKUR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ankit Verma, Mr. Sachin Verma,
Mr. Mohit Verma and Ms. Nidhi,
Advs.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Manoj Pant, APP with IO/SI Raj
Kiran IFSO, Special Cell and SI
Vaibhav Singh, PS Sarita Vihar.
Mr. Jitender K. Singh and Mr.
Abhishek Chhabra, Advs. for R-2.
Along with R-2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MADHU JAIN

ORDER

% **19.08.2026**

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.
2. By way of the present petition, the applicant seeks grant of regular bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, in connection with **FIR No. 566/2024**, registered at Police Station Special Cell, New Delhi, for the offences punishable under Sections 319(2), 318(4), 61(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant/petitioner submits that the applicant has been in judicial custody for the last five months and has no role to play. The entire amount has already been handed over to the main accused, and the applicant is not the beneficiary.
4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the other accused persons, being the holders of Layer 1 accounts, have been granted bail by the



Trial Court. He further submits that the details of some NCRP complaints against the account of the applicant have not been provided to him and that no investigation has been conducted regarding the crypto exchanges.

5. Per contra, learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the complainant submit that the present case relates to an online business fraud, wherein the complainant was duped of around Rs. 74,00,000/-.

6. The money thereafter was routed through 35 Layer 1 accounts, from where it was transferred to the account of the applicant, which is a Layer 2 account.

7. He further submits that there are 7 NCRP complaints against the account of the applicant.

8. Learned counsel for the complainant also submits that before the Trial Court, the applicant had agreed to pay a sum of Rs. 20 lakhs to the complainant, but now he is stating that he has already given the money to the main accused. He further submits that the main syndicate is being operated from Dubai and he is part of a larger syndicate, with many complaints pending against his account.

9. Learned counsel for the complainant submits that the applicant is receiving tangible benefits from these transactions, as he is receiving commission

10. Online business frauds are on the rise, and prima facie, it appears that the applicant is a part of a larger syndicate. The money from the Layer 1 accounts was transferred to the applicant's account, which is a Layer 2 account. The applicant not only withdrew a part of the amount in cash but also transferred the same to other accounts.

11. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, no ground for grant



of bail is made out.

12. The bail application is, accordingly, dismissed.
13. A copy of this order be sent to the learned Trial Court for information.
14. It is clarified that the observations made in the present order shall not influence the learned Trial Court while adjudicating the matter on its merits.
15. The order be uploaded on the website *forthwith*.

MADHU JAIN, J

AUGUST 19, 2026/JYH/rm