



\$~40

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

CNR No. DLHC010297302026

+ **W.P.(C) 9317/2026**

SH. RAJVIR SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Bandana Kaur Grover, Advocate
(through VC)

versus

**MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI
& ORS.**

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Ranjeet Pandey and Mr. Kartik Solanki, Advocates for MCD.
Mr. Rajesh Kumar Agnohitri, Advocate for R-3/GNCTD (through VC)
Mr. B.B. Gupta, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Udaibir Singh Kochar, Mr. Achal Gupta and Mr. Karan Gupta, Advocates for R-4 and R54.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

% **31.08.2026**

Since 27th August, 2026 was declared a Court holiday, the matters listed on 27th August, 2026 are being taken up today, i.e., 31st August, 2026.

1. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following reliefs:-

- a) Issue a writ in the nature of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction directing the Respondent no. 1 & 2 to take appropriate action in accordance with law to remove the illegal / unauthorized construction / encroachment raised and existing at property bearing no. Blinkit Dark-store (Warehouse/Distribution Center), property no. 32, Kahu Sarai, New Delhi – 110016.



- b) Issue a writ in the nature of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction directing the Respondent no. 1 & 2 to take appropriate action in accordance with law to stop the mis-use of / commercial activities being carried at the property bearing no. Blinkit Dark-store (Warehouse/Distribution Center), Property no. 32, Kalu Sarai, New Delhi – 110016 by the Respondent 4 & 5.
- c) Issue writ in the nature of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction directing the Respondent no. 1 & 2 to re-erect the boundary wall covering / surrounding the locality, illegally demolished / removed by the Respondent no. 4 & 5 as shown in photographs at Annexure -2 without any prior sanctions for creating a separate / private illegal driveway / passage entry and parking for the property bearing no. Blinkit Dark-store (Warehouse/Distribution Center), 32, Kalu Sarai, New Delhi – 110016.
- d) Issue writ in the nature of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction directing the Respondent no. 3 to file a status report clarifying whether Fire NOC has been issued by Respondent no. 3 for the property bearing no. Blinkit Dark-store (Warehouse/Distribution Center), Property no. 32, Kalu Sarai, New Delhi – 110016.

- 2. Notice in the present petition was issued on 14th July, 2026.
- 3. Status report has been filed by the respondent no.1/MCD, wherein it is stated that upon inspection, it came to notice that commercial activities are being carried at the Ground Floor and First Floor of the subject property in the shape of running of 'wholesale and distribution' under the name and style of 'Blinkit Dark Store'.
- 4. Accordingly, a show cause notice was issued on 10th July, 2026 under



Section 345-A of the DMC Act (Annexure A to the status report). A reply was received to the said show cause notice. Subsequently, a sealing order has been passed on 24th August, 2026 (Annexure B to the status report).

5. A copy of the sealing order has been supplied to Mr. B.B. Gupta, senior counsel appearing on behalf of the private respondents no.4 and 5.

6. Mr. Gupta submits that he will be taking appropriate remedies in law in respect of the said sealing order.

7. Insofar as the grievance of the petitioner as set out in prayer (c) above, a direction is issued to respondent no.1/MCD and/or respondent no.2/DDA, as the case may be, to re-erect the boundary wall which has been demolished, as reflected in photographs at Page 31 of the writ petition.

8. In view of the above, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

9. Liberty is given to the private respondents to challenge the sealing order in accordance with law within two (2) weeks from today.

10. For a period of two (2) weeks, no coercive steps shall be taken against the subject property.

11. It is made clear that no observations with regard to merits of the case have been made and this order has been passed only so as to enable the private respondents to invoke their statutory remedy of appeal.

AMIT BANSAL, J

AUGUST 31, 2026

Vivek/-