



\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

CNR No. DLHC010280772026

+ **BAIL APPLN. 2487/2026**

VEDPRAKASH ALIAS GOLU

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sunil Tiwari, Adv.
versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Manoj Pant, APP for State.
SI Komal, PS Aman Vihar

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MADHU JAIN

ORDER

21.08.2026

%

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.
2. The present application has been filed on behalf of the applicant under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter referred to as the 'BNSS') seeking grant of regular bail in **FIR No. 89/2024**, registered at Police Station Aman Vihar, Delhi, for the offences punishable under Sections 376/506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter referred to as the 'IPC') read with Section 6 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 (hereinafter referred to as the 'POCSO Act').
3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that it is a case of adolescent love. The complainant/prosecutrix initially gave her statement before SI Jyoti under Section 161 Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter referred to as the 'Cr.P.C.') that nothing wrong happened with her. Even in her cross examination recorded in the Court, she has admitted that she used to chat with the applicant on her own. During her cross-examination, she was confronted



with the chats which happened between her and the applicant and she has admitted all these chats. Even, she has refused for her internal medical examination before the Doctor.

4. *Per contra*, learned APP for the State submits that allegations are quite heinous and victim was only around 14 years old, whereas the applicant was more than 23 years of age and being the brother of the Bhabhi of the victim, he took advantage of this position and committed sexual assault upon the prosecutrix.

5. Heard both the learned counsel for the applicant and learned APP for the State.

6. No doubt that allegations are quite serious and victim who was only around 14 years of age at the time of incident, but during her cross examination in the Court, she herself has admitted, the fact that she used to call the applicant and they were in constant touch with each other and she also used to chat with him.

7. Not only this, she has refused initially before SI Jyoti stating that nothing happened with her and the applicant is in Judicial Custody for the last two years. Charge-sheet has already been filed and all material witnesses have been examined as stated by the learned APP for the State on instructions.

8. Therefore, keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, the applicant is admitted to be released on regular bail on furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 25,000/- with one surety of the like amount, to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The applicant shall not leave India without prior permission of the learned Trial Court.
- ii. The applicant shall intimate the learned Trial Court by way of an



affidavit and to the Investigating Officer regarding any change in residential address.

iii. The applicant shall appear before the learned Trial Court as and when the matter is taken up for hearing.

iv. The applicant is directed to give his mobile number to the Investigating Officer and keep it operational at all times.

v. The applicant shall not, directly or indirectly, tamper with evidence or try to influence the witness in any manner.

vi. The applicant shall report at the office of concerned SHO, PS Aman Vihar, New Delhi. first Monday of every month at 11:00 a.m. and he shall be discharged by 12 noon, after recording his presence and completion of all the necessary formalities;

9. A copy of this order be sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for necessary information and compliance.

10. The application is allowed and disposed of accordingly. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

11. The order be uploaded on the website *forthwith*.

MADHU JAIN, J

AUGUST 21, 2026/ys/P