



\$~6

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

CNR No. DLHC010250832026

+ **ARB.P. 1002/2026**

VAIBHAV VIKAS

.....Petitioner

Through: **Ms. Asmita Singh, Advocate.**

versus

ADDVERB TECHNOLOGIES LTD

.....Respondent

Through: **Mr. Kaustav Som and Mr. Arpit Lahoti, Advocates.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

24.08.2026

%

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 11(6) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 by the Petitioner seeking appointment of an Arbitrator to adjudicate upon the disputes under an Employment Agreement dated 01.02.2024 (*hereinafter referred to as "Agreement"*).

2. It is stated that various disputes have arisen between the Parties under the Agreement. Clause 13.1 and 13.2 of the Agreement contain an arbitration clause by which the Parties have decided to get their disputes adjudicated through arbitration. Clause 13.3 of the Agreement indicates that the seat of the Arbitration shall be in Delhi.

3. It is stated that a notice under Section 21 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 invoking arbitration was sent to the Respondent on 13.04.2026 which has been replied to by the Respondent vide a letter dated 12.05.2026.



4. Notice was issued in the petition on 29.05.2026.
5. Mr. Kaustav Som, learned Counsel, enters appearance on behalf of the Respondent.
6. In view of the fact that disputes have arisen between the Parties and the Agreement contains an arbitration clause, this Court is inclined to appoint an Arbitrator to adjudicate upon the disputes between the parties.
7. Accordingly, Ms. Radhika Dubey, Advocate (Mob. No: 9810902927) is appointed as the Sole Arbitrator to adjudicate upon the disputes between the parties.
8. The arbitration would take place under the aegis of the Delhi International Arbitration Centre (DIAC) and would abide by its rules and regulations. The learned Arbitrator shall be entitled to fees as per the Schedule of Fees maintained by the DIAC.
9. The learned Arbitrator is also requested to file the requisite disclosure under Section 12(2) of the Act within two weeks of entering on reference.
10. All rights and contentions of the parties in relation to the claims/counter-claims are kept open, to be decided by the learned Arbitrator on their merits, in accordance with law.
11. Needless to say, nothing in this Order shall be construed as an expression of this Court on the merits of the contentions of the parties.
12. The Petition stands disposed of in the above terms, along with pending application(s), if any.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

AUGUST 24, 2026

S. Zakir