



\$~21

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

Date of Decision: 20th August, 2026

CNR No. DLHC010216532026

+ **CRL.M.C. 3771/2026 & CRL.M.A. 17752/2026**

VINOD KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Harshit Shishodia and Mr. Deepak
Shah, Advocates along with petitioner
in person

versus

THE STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI AND ORS Respondent

Through: Mr. Rajkumar, APP for State
Mr. Jatin Grover and Mr. Joni
Kashyap, Advocates for R-2 to R-6

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

J U D G M E N T (oral)

1. Petitioner seeks quashing of FIR No. 0092/2020 dated 03.02.2020, registered at Police Station Ambedkar Nagar, for commission of offences under Sections 279/338 IPC, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise arrived at between the parties.
2. As per case of prosecution, Raj Rani was waiting for bus on 03.02.2020. Her daughter Reena was also with her. At about 7.30 AM, a bus being driven by petitioner herein came at the bus stop and when Raj Rani was boarding the bus, the bus driver i.e. petitioner herein, all of a sudden, started the bus. Because of such rash or negligent act of the petitioner, Raj Rani fell down and her left leg was run over by the tyre of the same bus.



3. Her daughter Reena immediately took her to Max Hospital. On the basis of her report and further investigation, petitioner was charge-sheeted for committing offences under Sections 279/338 IPC.
4. Fact remains that Raj Rani, later on, died on 28.03.2022. Her such death has, reportedly, no interconnection with the accident in question. Her surviving legal heirs (LRs) have settled the matter. Such settlement has taken place on 13.02.2026 at *Mediation Centre, Saket Courts, New Delhi* and as per settlement terms, petitioner has agreed to make payment of Rs. 51,000/- to the LRs of the deceased towards full and final settlement and as compensation. It is also informed that matter was also settled in relation to the claim proceedings and a sum of Rs. 4,00,000/- has already been paid to the surviving LRs.
5. It is in the abovesaid backdrop that quashing is being sought.
6. Amended *Memo of Parties* is on record.
7. Respondent No. 3 Reena (daughter of deceased) and respondent No. 6 Sudeep Kumar (son of deceased) are present in Court whereas other LRs i.e. husband and sons of deceased have joined the proceedings through *videoconferencing* and they all have been duly identified by the investigating officer, who is present in Court.
8. When asked, respondent No.2 to 6 submitted that the matter has been amicably settled and they reiterate the terms of settlement as recorded in the settlement. They submit that entire compensation amount has already been received by them and that they have entered into the abovesaid settlement out of their own free will, without any coercion and influence from any corner whatsoever and therefore, they would have '*no objection*' if FIR in question is quashed.



9. Charge-sheet has already been filed and case is at the stage of prosecution evidence.
10. In view of the settlement arrived at between the parties, continuing with criminal proceedings would serve no useful purpose. In any case, even the complainants do not wish to press any charges against the petitioner.
11. Accordingly, exercising inherent powers vested in this Court under Section 528 of the BNSS, it is deemed appropriate to quash the instant FIR.
12. Consequently, to secure the ends of justice, FIR No. 0092/2020 dated 03.02.2020, registered at Police Station Ambedkar Nagar, for commission of offences under Sections 279/338 IPC, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, is quashed.
13. Original affidavits of the parties, copies of which have been filed with the present petition, shall be submitted before the learned Trial Court within four weeks from today so that these become part of Trial Court Record.
14. The petition stands disposed of in aforesaid terms.
15. Pending application also stands disposed of.

(MANOJ JAIN)
JUDGE

AUGUST 20, 2026/dr/js