



\$~51

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
# **CNR No. DLHC010183192025**  
+ **CRL.M.C. 2286/2025 & CRL.M.A. 10290/2025, CRL.M.A. 19247/2026, CRL.M.A. 21583/2026**

DEEPSHIKHA KUMARI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Zoheb Hossain, Sr. Adv with Mr. Talib Mustafa, Mr. Pranjal Tripathi, Mr. Shubh Mathur, Mr. Shariq Ahamd, Advs.  
Petitioner in person (*through VC*)

versus

LEELA INFRASTRUCTURE MINING PVT LTD

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Vishal Maan, Mr. Kartik Dabas, Ms. Smita Maan, Advs.

**CORAM:**  
**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MADHU JAIN**

**ORDER**  
**25.08.2026**

%

1. This hearing has been conducted through hybrid mode.
2. The present petition has been filed under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter referred to as 'BNSS'), seeking quashing of ***Complaint Case No. 3192/2014; 467085/2016***, titled "***M/s Leela Infrastructure & Mining Pvt. Ltd. v. Deepshikha Kumari***", pending before the learned JMFC (NI Act)-03, South District, Saket Courts, New Delhi, along with the order dated 17.12.2014 taking cognizance of the offence under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 (hereinafter referred to as 'NI Act'), and the subsequent orders dated 10.12.2024 and 30.01.2025



issuing non-bailable warrants and process under Section 82 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter referred to as 'Cr.P.C'), respectively, against the petitioner.

3. Issue notice. The learned APP for the State accepts notice on behalf of the State.

4. The petitioner and the respondent appearing through video conferencing (VC) have been duly identified by their respective learned counsel as well as the concerned Investigating Officer (IO).

5. Briefly stated, the complaint arose from the dishonour of a cheque issued in connection with a commercial transaction between the parties. The complaint and the summoning order were initially quashed by this Court on 12.09.2012 in **CRL.M.C. 2348/2011**. The said order was set aside by the Supreme Court *vide* order dated 06.08.2014 in **SLP (Crl.) No. 1393/2013**, with a direction that the trial should proceed. Upon refiling, the learned Trial Court took cognizance on 17.12.2014 and issued summons to the petitioner on 15.04.2015.

6. As the process issued against the petitioner remained unexecuted, the learned Trial Court issued non-bailable warrants on 10.12.2024 and directed initiation of proceedings under Section 82 of Cr.P.C on 30.01.2025. Aggrieved thereby, the petitioner instituted the present petition. *Vide* order dated 04.04.2025, this Court issued notice and stayed the complaint case and the consequential proceedings.

7. On 17.07.2025, the respondent expressed its willingness to explore an amicable resolution. The parties subsequently agreed to a composite mediation covering the present complaint and the connected proceedings and, *vide* order dated 01.09.2025, they were referred to the Delhi High Court



Mediation and Conciliation Centre.

8. The mediation culminated in a Settlement Agreement dated 28.05.2026, covering the present complaint, **FIR No. 84/2018** and **FIR No. 249/2013**. The parties agreed to cooperate in obtaining appropriate orders of quashing from the competent Courts. The petitioner thereafter filed **CRL.M.A. 19247/2026**, and the Settlement Agreement was taken on record by this Court on 07.07.2026.

9. On a query made by this Court, respondent No. 2, who has been duly identified by the IO, has stated that he entered into the Settlement Agreement dated 28.05.2026 of his own free will and without any pressure, coercion, undue influence or threat. He has further stated that he has no objection if the aforementioned FIR is quashed.

10. In view of the above, since the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will and without any pressure, coercion, undue influence or threat, no useful purpose would be served by continuing the proceedings. Rather, continuation of the proceedings may only give rise to further acrimony between the parties. In these circumstances, this Court is of the view that the interest of justice would be served by bringing the proceedings to an end. Accordingly, the aforesaid FIR and all proceedings arising therefrom are liable to be quashed.

11. Therefore, **Complaint Case No. 3192/2014; 467085/2016**, titled “**M/s Leela Infrastructure & Mining Pvt. Ltd. v. Deepshikha Kumari**”, pending before the learned JMFC (NI Act)-03, South District, Saket Courts, New Delhi, along with the order dated 17.12.2014 and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom, including the orders dated 10.12.2024 and 30.01.2025, is hereby quashed.



12. The present petition is accordingly disposed of. Pending application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of.
13. A copy of this order be sent to the concerned learned Trial Court for necessary information and compliance.
14. The order be uploaded on the website *forthwith*.

**MADHU JAIN, J**

**AUGUST 25, 2026/ys/prg/PG**