



SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			<u>WPCRL/ 1840/2025</u> Jeevan Chandra Joshi --Petitioner Versus State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent <u>Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.</u> Mr. Prabhakar Joshi, Advocate for the petitioner. Mr. Akshay Latwal, A.G.A. for the State of Uttarakhand. Mr. Narendra Bali, Advocate for respondent no.4. 2. By means of this writ petition, petitioner has sought quashing of F.I.R. No.0038 of 2025, under Section 420, 467, 468 & 471 I.P.C., registered at Police Station Someshwar, Almora. 3. As per the averments made in the impugned F.I.R., all the accused persons were, at the relevant time, working in the Minor Irrigation Department, Government of Uttarakhand. It is alleged that they, in connivance with each other, sanctioned payment in favour of the contractor despite the alleged work not having been completed. 4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegations levelled against the petitioner are identical in nature to those levelled against the other three accused persons, who had approached this Court by filing separate



		writ petitions seeking quashing of the same F.I.R. It is submitted that the Coordinate Bench of this Court, vide order dated 18.04.2026, allowed the compounding applications preferred by the said accused persons and quashed the impugned F.I.R. qua them. It is further submitted that, while passing the aforesaid order, the Coordinate Bench took note of the fact that the work in respect of which the allegations were made in the F.I.R. had, in fact, been completed and was found to be up to the prescribed standard. Technical inspection of the work had also been carried out, which confirmed that the work was executed as per the prescribed specifications and standards. It is also submitted that the complainant was present before the Coordinate Bench and categorically stated that he did not dispute the fact that the work in question had already been completed and was up to the mark. Taking note of these facts, the Coordinate Bench allowed the compounding applications and quashed the impugned F.I.R. qua the said accused persons. 5. Having considered the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the record, this Court finds that the allegations against the present petitioner arise out of the very same transaction and relate to the same work in respect of which the Coordinate Bench has already considered the matter in detail. It is significant that the material circumstances which formed the basis for quashing of the F.I.R. qua the co-accused are equally applicable to the present petitioner. The technical inspection has found the work to have been completed and to be in accordance with the prescribed standards. Further, the complainant has himself
--	--	--

