SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			ABA/1050/2023 Shri Beenu Lawai Alias Vinoo Lawai Alias Vinod Lawai --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Rajat Mittal, learned counsel for the applicant. Mr. Dinesh Chauhan, learned A.G.A. for the State of Uttarakhand. 2. By means of the present application, the applicant seeks grant of anticipatory bail in connection with FIR dated 17.03.2023 being FIR No. 108 of 2023, registered at Police Station Kotwali Dehradun, for the offences punishable under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468 and 471 of the Indian Penal Code. 3. A Coordinate Bench of this Court, vide order dated 23.11.2023, granted interim anticipatory bail to the applicant. In compliance with the conditions stipulated therein, the applicant has duly cooperated with the investigation as and when called upon by the Investigating Officer. 4. It has been brought to the notice of this Court that the investigation has since been concluded and the charge-sheet has already been submitted before the competent Court. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that pursuant to the interim protection granted by this Court, the applicant has cooperated throughout the investigation and has not misused the liberty granted to him. It is further

			submitted that the investigation has culminated in the submission of the charge-sheet and, therefore, no custodial interrogation of the applicant is required at this stage. 5. Per contra, learned State Counsel opposes the anticipatory bail application. However, he does not dispute that the applicant has cooperated during the course of investigation and that the charge-sheet has already been filed before the competent Court. 6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, perused the material available on record, and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court finds that the applicant has remained protected by virtue of the interim order dated 23.11.2023 and has duly complied with the conditions incorporated therein by extending full cooperation during the course of the investigation. The investigation has culminated in the submission of the charge-sheet before the competent Court. No material has been placed before this Court to indicate that the applicant has either misused the liberty granted to him or failed to cooperate with the investigating agency. It is also not the case of the prosecution that the custodial interrogation of the applicant is required at this stage. 7. Having regard to the nature of the allegations, the stage of the proceedings, the conduct of the applicant during the period of interim protection, and the overall facts and circumstances of the case, and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the prosecution case, this Court is of the considered opinion that the applicant has made out a fit case for confirmation of the interim anticipatory bail granted vide order dated 23.11.2023.

			8. Consequently, the interim anticipatory bail granted to the applicant vide order dated 23.11.2023 is hereby confirmed and made absolute. The applicant shall remain on anticipatory bail on the same terms and conditions as contained in the aforesaid order and shall continue to cooperate with the trial proceedings. It shall also be open to the learned Trial Court to impose such further conditions, if considered necessary, in accordance with law. 9. Accordingly, the present Anticipatory Bail Application stands allowed. **(Alok Mahra, J.)** 14-08-2026 SB BINJOLA