SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			ABA/1038/2023 Saleem Kureshi --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Rajveer Singh, Advocate for the applicant. Mr. Vikas Uniyal, Brief Holder for the State of Uttarakhand. 2. By means of the present application, the applicant seeks grant of anticipatory bail in connection with F.I.R./Case Crime No.0787 of 2023, registered at Police Station Bhagwanpur, District Haridwar, for the offence punishable under Sections 3, 5, 11 of Uttarakhand Protection of Cow Progeny Act, 2007. 3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is submitted that a Coordinate Bench of this Court, vide order dated 10.11.2023, granted interim anticipatory bail to the applicant and, in compliance with the conditions stipulated therein, the applicant has duly cooperated with the investigation as and when called upon by the Investigating Officer. It is further submitted that the investigation has since been concluded and the charge-sheet has already been filed before the competent Court. Learned counsel contends that no material has been brought on record by the prosecution to demonstrate that the custodial interrogation of the applicant is either necessary or warranted at this stage. It is, therefore, prayed that the interim anticipatory bail granted to the applicant be

			confirmed and made absolute. 4. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, perused the material available on record and considered the rival submissions, this Court finds that the applicant has remained protected by virtue of the interim order dated and has duly complied with the conditions incorporated therein by extending full cooperation during the course of investigation. The investigation has culminated in submission of the charge-sheet before the competent Court. No material has been placed before this Court to indicate that the applicant has misused the liberty granted to him or has failed to cooperate with the investigating agency. It is also not the case of the prosecution that the custodial interrogation of the applicant is required at this stage. Having regard to the nature of the allegations, the stage of the proceedings, the conduct of the applicant during the period of interim protection and the facts and circumstances of the case, and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the prosecution case, this Court is of the considered opinion that the applicant has made out a fit case for confirmation of the interim anticipatory bail granted earlier. 5. Consequently, the interim anticipatory bail granted to the applicant vide order dated 10.11.2023 is hereby confirmed and made absolute. The applicant shall remain on anticipatory bail on the same terms and conditions as contained in the aforesaid order and shall continue to cooperate with the trial proceedings. It shall also be open to the learned trial Court to impose such further conditions, if considered necessary, in accordance with law. 6. Accordingly, the anticipatory bail application stands allowed. **(Alok Mahra, J.)** 19.08.2026 *Arpan*

ARPAN JAISWAL

Digitally signed by ARPAN JAISWAL
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, ou=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, 2.5.4.20=eab68a38f5e41937c266c23964c04853d5445e3a20dd6b7393398f9e45ba3e, postalCode=263001, st=UTTARAKHAND, serialNumber=060FC17022BEAE3DE215D68D9D454C5109CB9874463510FDF04AADAA2C2CEA66, cn=ARPAN JAISWAL
Date: 2026.08.19 16:54:42 +05'30'