



2026:UHC:1151

IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND
AT NAINITAL

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR VERMA

20TH FEBRUARY, 2026

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1184 OF 2025

Smt. Suman		 Applicant
	Versus	
State of Uttarakhand		Respondent

Counsel for the Applicant : Mr. Bharat Singh, Advocate.
(through video conferencing)

Counsel for the Respondent : Mr. Pratiroop Pandey, Assistant
Government Advocate with Mr.
Devender Singh, Assistant
Government Advocate.

Hon'ble Alok Kumar Verma,J.

The present Application has been filed by the applicant-Smt. Suman for anticipatory bail in Case Crime No. 688 of 2020, registered at Kotwali Roorkee, District Haridwar under Section 386 and Section 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860. .

2. The Anticipatory Bail Application of the applicant has been rejected by the learned Ist Additional Sessions Judge, Roorkee, District Haridwar on 01.07.2025.

3. As per the First Information Report dated 16.12.2020, the applicant and other co-accused threatened the informant on 15.12.2020 that if he did not give Rs.10,00,000/-, they would kill him and his children.

4. Heard Mr. Bharat Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Mr. Pratiroop Pandey, learned Assistant



Government Advocate for the respondent.

5. Mr. Bharat Singh, Advocate, has contended that the allegations are false and fabricated. Applicant has been falsely implicated. Charge-sheet has been filed, therefore, there is no chance of tampering with the evidence. Applicant is a permanent resident of District Meerut, Uttar Pradesh. Manoj Kumar and Gurvinder Singh, the co-accused of similar role, have already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court.

6. Mr. Pratiroop Pandey, Assistant Government Advocate, has opposed the anticipatory bail application orally.

7. Personal liberty under Article 21 of the Constitution of India is very precious fundamental right and it should be curtailed only when it becomes imperative according to the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case.

8. Having heard the submissions of learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view of the facts and circumstances of the case, the present Application, filed for anticipatory bail, is allowed. It is directed that in the event of arrest of the applicant-Smt. Suman, she shall be released on anticipatory bail on executing a personal bond of Rs. 30,000/- and two reliable sureties, each of the like amount, to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to the following conditions: -



2026:UHC:1151

- (i) Applicant shall attend the trial court regularly and she shall not seek any unnecessary adjournment;
- (ii) Applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person, acquainted with the facts of this case;
- (iii) Applicant shall not leave the country without the previous permission of the trial court.

9. It is made clear that if the applicant misuses or violates any of the conditions, imposed upon her, the prosecution agency will be free to move the Court for cancellation of the anticipatory bail.

ALOK KUMAR VERMA, J.

Date: 20.02.2026
JKJ/Pant