SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS UKHC010154212025  2026:UHC:5007
			BA1 No.1831 of 2025 **Hon'ble Rakesh Thapliyal, J.** 1. Mr. Gaurav Singh, learned counsel for the applicant. 2. Mrs. Rangoli Purohit, learned Brief Holder for the State. 3. Present bail application is filed by the applicant Jaid, S/o Nafees, praying for regular bail in reference to first information report dated 12.04.2025 bearing Case Crime No.127 of 2025 wherein he has been implicated for the offences punishable under Section 137(2), 64(2)(m) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita read with Section 3(A), 4, 51 and 6 of the POCSO Act. 4. It is argued by learned counsel for the applicant that applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated and infact, he known to victim since last one year through *Instagram*; they made friends and were in love, which she has admitted before the doctor, who conducted the medical examination. He submits that the applicant at the time of incident was of tender age of 20 years and the victim at that time was 16 years, therefore, both of them were of tender age and were in love. He submits that charge sheet has already been filed; trial has already been commenced and uptill date four prosecution witnesses have been examined and there is no possibility that the trial would be expedited soon. He further submits that present applicant is languishing in jail since 02.06.2025 and he has no criminal antecedents.

			5. On the other hand, learned State counsel has not disputed that the present applicant is languishing in jail since 02.06.2025 and the trial has already been commenced. She also has not disputed the statement of the victim as given during the medical examination to the doctor, which reveals that she was in love with the present applicant. 6. After hearing the arguments of learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration that the trial has already commenced and the present applicant is languishing in jail since 02.06.2025 and in fact, at the time of incident the applicant was of tender age of 20 years and the victim was also of 16 years though the victim was minor at the time of incident but they were in love, therefore, this Court is of the view that the applicant deserves for bail. 7. Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merit of the case, the bail application is allowed. 8. Let the applicant-Jaid, S/o Nafees, be released on bail on his executing a personal bond and furnishing two reliable sureties each of the like amount to the satisfaction of the court concerned. **(Rakesh Thapliyal, J.)** **17.06.2026** Arti
